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## Preface

If somebody with some technical background is, for example, interested in the blockchain technology or a high school student, as another example, wants to write a practical assignment on cryptography, they will often face the following problem: already at the beginning of their investigation they read it has something to do with the multiplication of points on modular elliptic curves over finite fields. They immediately ask themselves: what is an elliptic curve?, what is a modular elliptic curve? and what is the multiplication of points on a modular elliptic curve? This is already confusing, even more so since a modular elliptic curve does not look like a curve at all. Other questions which arise are: what is a finite field or even a field? A persistent student will find that a field has something to do with a group and that a group is something with properties like associativity, commutativity and distributivity. So, already after a few sentences they are drowning in concepts which are new and therefore difficult to them. At this point they may give up.

In the search for a less difficult book one might face the following problem: either one finds popular introductions with almost no mathematics or one arrives at university courses and books written by professors. The first are simple but do not satisfy the desire of the reader to understand things mathematically. The second are intended for university students. They are formal and technical, as they should. However, they are too difficult for readers with less mathematical experience in the field. A book which fills the gap should be mathematical on a very elementary level. The present book is intended to be a simple and informal introduction to the mathematics behind cryptography, cryptocurrents and blockchain technology. With simple is meant that a high school level of mathematics (together with the willingness to study) suffices to understand the contents. With informal is meant that the book is not organized as an enumeration of theorems and proofs. Instead it rather is a random walk through numbers en elliptic curves, some patterns are recognized and captured into relations. Proofs of relations are omitted, except for a few obvious cases.

Since the contents in this book is very elementary and known for ages, citations are considered redundant. Citations were also omitted to avoid a technical and intimidating impression. However, it should be mentioned that I learned a lot from the book of Washington [1], the book of Koblitz [2] and the book of Silverman and Tate [3]. Of course I also obtained information from the internet. For this I wish to mention the following two references: An instructive explanation of the math behind the bitcoinis is given by Rykwalder [4]. To understand the blockchain basics a 1blue3brown youtube video [5] was very helpful.
Together with what I already knew, I felt sufficiently equipped to write things in my own words. At every step I tried to put myself in the shoes of a layman. I also take sideways,
probably to show the reader the beauty of mathematics. The result is a somewhat unique presentation of the matter. The present book has just been written for educational purposes. It is intended for high school students with talent for mathematics and for readers with (a little more than) a high school level mathematical background.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction to group theory

### 1.1 The group $C_{3}$

We start considering an equilateral triangle, see the figure. The arrows in the edges cause the triangle to have an 'orientation'.


The triangle is unaltered if it is rotated anti-clockwise over $2 \pi / 3$ around the barycenter $Z$, except that the figures at the corners have moved one position. Let us denote this rotation by $r_{1}$. The triangle also is unaltered if it is rotated anti-clockwise over $4 \pi / 3$. The figures at the corners then have moved two positions. This rotation is denoted as $r_{2}$. With a rotation angle of $2 \pi$ both the triangle and the figures at the corners are rotated onto itself. With this full turn, which we could denote as $r_{3}$, nothing has changed. The result is the same as a rotation over 0 (no rotation at all ). This is called a unit rotation (identity) and denoted as $r_{0}$ (sometimes also as $e$ ). First applying rotation $r_{1}$ and then rotation $r_{2}$ is denoted as $r_{2} r_{1}$. The result is the unit rotation: $r_{2} r_{1}=r_{0}$. Similarly we have $r_{1} r_{2}=r_{0}, r_{1} r_{1}=\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}=r_{2}$, $r_{2} r_{2}=\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}=r_{1}, r_{1} r_{0}=r_{1}, r_{0} r_{2}=r_{2}$, etc. One can also take longer sequences of rotations, for example $r_{1} r_{1} r_{2}$. Since $r_{1} r_{2}=r_{0}$ we get $r_{1}\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)=r_{1} r_{0}=r_{1}$. We could also have chosen to replace $r_{1} r_{1}$ by $r_{2}$, then we get $\left(r_{1} r_{1}\right) r_{2}=r_{2} r_{2}=r_{1}$. The result does not depend on the order of the replacement: $r_{1}\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1} r_{1}\right) r_{2}$. This property is known as associativity.

One can also rotate clockwise. It is the inverse (opposite) of rotating anti-clockwise. The inverse of $r_{1}$ is written as $r_{1}^{-1}$. Since a rotation followed by its inverse rotation is in effect no rotation at all we have $r_{1}^{-1} r_{1}=r_{0}$. Since also $r_{2} r_{1}=r_{0}$ we obtain $r_{1}^{-1}=r_{2}$. Of course, we could have written the latter identity immediately just by looking at the action of $r_{1}^{-1}$ and $r_{2}$ to the triangle. Similarly there holds $r_{2}^{-1}=r_{1}$ and $r_{0}^{-1}=r_{0}$.

The set $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ is a GROUP because it satisfies the following demands:

1. the set contains a unit element, $r_{0}$ (in general $e$ )
2. each element of the set has an inverse which is also part of the set
3. associativity is satisfied, that is for each triple of elements $a, b$ en $c$ of the set there holds $(a b) c=a(b c)$.

A set is a group if all the three demands are satisfied. A group is called 'Abelian' if for each pair of elements $a$ en $b$ of the group there holds $a b=b a$. For instance, the triangle group $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ is Abelian. The bookkeeping of the action of subsequent group elements is usually by means of a multiplication table (Cayley table). For the Abelian group $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ it is as follows:

|  | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{0}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{0}$ |
| $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ |

The group $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ can also be written as $\left\{\left(r_{1}\right)^{0}, r_{1},\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}$. The element $r_{1}$ therefore is a generator of the group. The order of $r_{1}$ is 3 (it generates 3 group elements). $r_{2}$ also is a generator of the group $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$. The cyclic group $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ is denoted as $C_{3}$. The number of elements in a group is the order of a group. In summary: the groep $C_{3}$ is Abelian, it has order 3 , and 1 generator ( $r_{1}$ or $r_{2}$ ) is sufficient to generate the group.

Rotations can be described with matrices. For the coordinates $(x, y)$ of a point on a circle with radius $r$ and its centre at the origin we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x}{y}=\binom{r \cos \alpha}{r \sin \alpha} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the angle with respect to the $x$ axis, see the next figure.


If the point $(x, y)$ is rotated anti-clockwise over an angle $\theta$, then the new coordinates are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}=\binom{r \cos (\alpha+\theta)}{r \sin (\alpha+\theta)}=\binom{r \cos \alpha \cos \theta-r \sin \alpha \sin \theta}{r \sin \alpha \cos \theta+r \cos \alpha \sin \theta} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\binom{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta  \tag{1.3}\\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{r \cos \alpha}{r \sin \alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}
$$

The $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta  \tag{1.4}\\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

is for an anti-clockwise rotation over an angle $\theta$. The matrices for rotations over $0,2 \pi / 3$ en $4 \pi / 3$ are denoted as $R_{0}, R_{1}$ respectively $R_{2}$. Explicitely:

$$
R_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{1.5}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad R_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cr}
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \\
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad R_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The determinants of these matrices are 1. From matrix multiplication it follows $\left(R_{1}\right)^{2}=R_{2}$, $\left(R_{2}\right)^{2}=R_{1}$ and $R_{1} R_{2}=R_{2} R_{1}=R_{0}$. This means that the group of matrices $\left\{R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}\right\}$ is similar to $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{0} \longleftrightarrow R_{0} \\
& r_{1} \longleftrightarrow R_{1}  \tag{1.6}\\
& r_{2} \longleftrightarrow R_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

With this one to one relation the groups have the same group structure: the multiplication table is similar. The group $\left\{R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}\right\}$, which we will call $M_{3}$, therefore is isomorphic to the group $C_{3}$. The group $M_{3}$ is just another representation of the group $C_{3}$ : the matrix representation. The isomorphy between $C_{3}$ and $M_{3}$ is expressed as $C_{3} \cong M_{3}$.

### 1.2 The group $C_{4}$

Here we consider a square with arrows in the edges, see the figure.


The square has a fourfold rotational symmetry. The anti-clockwise rotations around the barycenter $Z$ over $0, \pi / 2, \pi$ en $3 \pi / 2$ are denoted as $r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}$ respectively $r_{3}$. The square also has point symmetry. That is, reflection in point $Z$ leads to the same square. However, if you look what happens to the figures at the corners you will notice that the point reflection is actually the same as the rotation $r_{2}$. The group $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\}$ is Abelian with order 4 . The group is generated by $r_{1}$ which has order 4 heeft. The group is denoted as $C_{4}$. The multiplication table is as follows:

|  | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{0}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ |
| $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{0}$ |
| $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ |
| $r_{3}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ |

As for the triangle the rotations can be described with matrices. The matrices corresponding to a rotation over $0, \pi / 2, \pi$ en $3 \pi / 2$ are denoted $R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}$ respectively $R_{3}$ :

$$
R_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{1.7}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad R_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad R_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad R_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The set matrices $\left\{R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right\}$ form a group which we will call $M_{4}$. As the reader may check $C_{4} \cong M_{4}$.

### 1.3 The group $D_{3}$

Again we consider an equilateral triangle, but this time without the arrows in the edges, see the figure. The dashed lines are the medians.


The triangle has the same rotation symmetry as the triangle in section 1.1. Again, the rotaties will be denoted as $r_{0}, r_{1}$ en $r_{2}$. Because of the absence of arrows the triangle also has mirror symmetry. For example, reflection in median $k$ leads to the same triangle, except that the figures 2 and 3 at the corners are interchanged. This reflection will be denoted as $s_{0}$. The reflection in $l$ and $m$ is denoted as $s_{1}$ respectively $s_{2}$. The complete symmetry group is $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ and has order 6 . This so called dihedral group is denoted as $D_{3}$. The multiplication table is as follows:

|  | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{0}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ |
| $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{0}$ |
| $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ |
| $s_{0}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ |
| $s_{1}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| $s_{2}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{0}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ |

The combined actions $r_{1} s_{0}$ (read: first reflection in $k$ followed by a rotation over $2 \pi / 3$ ) has the same result as solely $s_{1}$, thus $r_{1} s_{0}=s_{1}$, see the table. Similarly we find $s_{0} r_{1}=s_{2}$. Since $r_{1} s_{0} \neq s_{0} r_{1}$ the group $D_{3}$ is not Abelian. For the multiplication table it is not necessary to visualise all combinations of rotations and reflections. Instead one can explore the algebra
(rules of combined actions). For instance, from $r_{1} s_{0}=s_{1}$ it follows $r_{2} r_{1} s_{0}=r_{2} s_{1}$. Since $r_{2} r_{1}=r_{0}$ we find $r_{2} r_{1} s_{0}=r_{0} s_{0}=s_{0}$ which results in $r_{2} s_{1}=s_{0}$. Convenient rules are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i} r_{j}=r_{i+j}, r_{i} s_{j}=s_{i+j}, s_{i} r_{j}=r_{i-j}, s_{i} s_{j}=r_{i-j} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $i+j$ and $i-j$ allways have to be 0,1 or 2 one has to subtract 3 from $i+j$ if $i+j>3$ and add 3 to $i-j$ if $i-j<0$; counting modulo 3 .

Since $s_{2}=s_{0} r_{1}$ and $s_{1}=s_{0} r_{2}=s_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}$ the group $D_{3}$ is generated by 2 generators: $r_{1}$ and $s_{0}$. Explicitely: $D_{3}=\left\{\left(r_{1}\right)^{0}, r_{1},\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}, s_{0}, s_{0} r_{1}, s_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}$. The order of $s_{0}$ is 2 . The group $C_{2}=\left\{r_{0}, s_{0}\right\}$ (with generator $s_{0}$ ) is a subgroup of $D_{3}$. The group $C_{3}=\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ (with generator $r_{1}$ ) is a subgroup of $D_{3}$. Since $\left\{\left(r_{1}\right)^{0}, r_{1},\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}, s_{0}, s_{0} r_{1}, s_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}=\left\{r_{0}, s_{0}\right\} \times\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ one says that $D_{3}$ is the group product of $C_{3}$ and $C_{2}: D_{3}=C_{3} \otimes C_{2}$. The order of $D_{3}$ is the product of the order of the 2 generators.

Reflections can also be expressed by matrices. The coordinates $(x, y)$ of a point on a circle with radius $r$ and its centre at the origin can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x}{y}=\binom{r \cos \alpha}{r \sin \alpha} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the point $(x, y)$ is reflected in a line which forms an angle $\theta$ with the horizontal axis, then the new coordinates are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}=\binom{r \cos (2 \theta-\alpha)}{r \sin (2 \theta-\alpha)}=\binom{r \cos \alpha \cos (2 \theta)+r \sin \alpha \sin (2 \theta)}{r \cos \alpha \sin (2 \theta)-r \sin \alpha \cos (2 \theta)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\binom{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos (2 \theta) & -\sin (2 \theta)  \tag{1.11}\\
\sin (2 \theta) & \cos (2 \theta)
\end{array}\right)\binom{r \cos \alpha}{r \sin \alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos (2 \theta) & \sin (2 \theta) \\
\sin (2 \theta) & -\cos (2 \theta)
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y} .
$$

The $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos (2 \theta) & \sin (2 \theta)  \tag{1.12}\\
\sin (2 \theta) & -\cos (2 \theta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the matrix for reflection in a line which forms an angle $\theta$ with the horizontal axis. For the lines $k, l$ and $m$ is $\theta$ equal to $30^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$ and $150^{\circ}$. The corresponding matrices, which we denote as $S_{0}, S_{1}$ respectively $S_{2}$, are:

$$
S_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3}  \tag{1.13}\\
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

With matrix multiplication it can be verified that for instance $\left(S_{0}\right)^{2}=R_{0}, S_{0} S_{1}=R_{1}$ and $S_{1} S_{2}=R_{2}$ with $R_{0}, R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ as given in the first section. The set $\left\{R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, S_{0}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$ is a group which we will denote as $M_{6}$. The multiplication table has the same structure as the table for $D_{3}$. thus $M_{6} \cong D_{3}$.

### 1.4 The group $D_{4}$

We consider a square, but this time without the arrows in the edges, see the figure. The square has the same rotation symmetry as the square in section 1.2. Because of the absence of arrows the square also has mirror symmetry. The 4 lines of reflection are shown as dashed lines.


The rotations are denoted as $r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}$ en $r_{3}$ and the reflections in the lines $k, l, m$ and $n$ as $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}$ respectively $s_{3}$. The complete symmetry group is $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$ and has order 8 . This non-Abelian group is denoted as $D_{4}$. The Cayley table is as follows:

|  | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{0}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ |
| $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ | $s_{0}$ |
| $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ |
| $r_{3}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ |
| $s_{0}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{3}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ |
| $s_{1}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{3}$ | $s_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| $s_{2}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{0}$ | $s_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{3}$ |
| $s_{3}$ | $s_{3}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{0}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ |

The equation 1.8 also hold for $D_{4}$ if one counts modulo 4 . The group $D_{4}$ is generated by 2 generators: $r_{1}$ and $s_{0}$. Explicitely:
$D_{4}=\left\{\left(r_{1}\right)^{0}, r_{1},\left(r_{1}\right)^{2},\left(r_{1}\right)^{3}, s_{0}, s_{0} r_{1}, s_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}, s_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)^{3}\right\}$. There holds: $D_{4}=C_{4} \otimes C_{2}$.

The rotation matrices are as in section 1.2. The matrices for reflection are:

$$
S_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{1.14}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lr}
0 & -1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

As can be verified, the group $\left\{R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, S_{0}, S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right\}$ is isomorphic to $D_{4}$.

### 1.5 The group $S_{3}$

With the rotation $r_{1}$ of $D_{3}$ all corners move on one step: 1 moves to 2,2 moves to 3 and 3 moves to 1 . By means of cycles this is written as (123). For the rotation $r_{2}$ of $D_{3}$ corner 1 moves to 3,3 moves to 2 and 2 moves to 1 . This is expressed with the 3 -cycle (132). The reflection $s_{0}$ of $D_{3}$ does interchange corners 2 and 3 while corner 1 is unaffected: 2 moves to 3,3 moves to 2 and 1 'moves to' 1 . This is the 3 -cycle (1)(23). The latter is denoted more briefly with the 2 -cycle (23), where it is understood that each missing numbers is in a 1 -cyle. The unit element $r_{0}$ is in cyle notation $(1)(2)(3)$ or shortly (). As can be verified, $(123)=(231)=(312)$ and $(23)=(32)$.

Each element of $D_{3}$ takes 1 to $a, 2$ to $b$ and 3 to $c$, where $a, b$ and $c$ are 1,2 or 3 such that $a \neq b, a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$. For $a, b, c$ there are 6 possibilities: $1,2,3$ and $1,3,2$ and $2,1,3$ and $2,3,1$ and $3,1,2$ and $3,2,1$. Since $a, b, c$ are a permutation of 3 different numbers, we have $3!=6$ different permutations and thus 6 possibilities. The group $S_{3}$ is the permutation group for 3 different numbers. So, the group $S_{3}$ has order $3!=6$. To each element of $S_{3}$ corresponds one element of $D_{3}$, see the next table.

| a,b,c | element of $S_{3}$ | element of $D_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,2,3$ | () | $r_{0}$ |
| $1,3,2$ | $(23)$ | $s_{0}$ |
| $2,1,3$ | $(12)$ | $s_{1}$ |
| $2,3,1$ | $(123)$ | $r_{1}$ |
| $3,1,2$ | $(132)$ | $r_{2}$ |
| $3,2,1$ | $(13)$ | $s_{2}$ |

De cycle (abcdef...xyz) has the same effect as (ab)(bcdef...xyz). Indeed, (bcdef...xyz) everything moves one position except that $a$ 'moves to' $a$ and $z$ moves to $b$. Afterwards the cycle (ab) interchanges $a$ en $z$. As a consequence the number positions are identical to the ones after (abcdef...xyz). Therefore, each $n$-cycle $(n>2)$ can be written as a product of 2 -cycles: $(a b c d e f \ldots x y z)=(a b)(b c)(c d)(d e) \ldots(x y)(y z)$. Furthermore $(a b)(a b)=()$ since two reflections cancel each other. With these rules it follows for instance that $(123)=(12)(23)$, alternatively: $r_{1}=s_{1} s_{0}$. Also $(123)(132)=(312)(213)=(31)(12)(21)(13)=(31)(13)=()$ or $r_{1} r_{2}=r_{0}$.

A consequence of the one-to-one correspondence between de cycles of $S_{3}$ and the elements of $D_{3}$ is that $S_{3} \cong D_{3}$. For $n \leq 3$ the symmetry of a regular $n$-polygon consists of $n$ rotations and $n$ reflections. The group $D_{n}$ therefore has $2 n$ elements. The permutation group $S_{n}$ has $n$ ! elements. The group $D_{n}$ can be isomorphic to $S_{n}$ if $2 n=n$ !. This is only satisfied for $n=3$. For $n=2$ (the regular 2 -gon is a line element connecting point 1 to point 2 ) the two reflections coincide with the identity $r_{0}$ and the rotation $r_{1}$ over $\pi$. So, $S_{2}$ has two elements just like $C_{2}$. As can be verified, $C_{2} \cong S_{2}$.

### 1.6 The group $S_{4}$

For $n=4$ the permutation group has $4!=24$ elementen, while $D_{4}$ has 8 elements. Therefore is $D_{4}$ one of the subgroups of $S_{4}$. The Cayley table for $S_{4}$ will not be shown since it is a 24 $\times 24$ table. Instead, in the next table the 24 elements of $S_{4}$ are shown and, where applicable, the corresponding element of $D_{4}$.

| a,b,c,d | element of $S_{4}$ | element of $D_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,2,3,4$ | () | $r_{0}$ |
| $1,2,4,3$ | $(34)$ |  |
| $1,3,2,4$ | $(23)$ |  |
| $1,3,4,2$ | $(234)$ |  |
| $1,4,2,3$ | $(243)$ |  |
| $1,4,3,2$ | $(24)$ | $s_{0}$ |
| $2,1,3,4$ | $(12)$ |  |
| $2,1,4,3$ | $(12)(34)$ | $s_{1}$ |
| $2,3,1,4$ | $(123)$ |  |
| $2,3,4,1$ | $(1234)$ | $r_{1}$ |


| a,b,c,d | element of $S_{4}$ | element of $D_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,4,1,3$ | $(1243)$ |  |
| $2,4,3,1$ | $(124)$ |  |
| $3,1,2,4$ | $(132)$ |  |
| $3,1,4,2$ | $(1342)$ |  |
| $3,2,1,4$ | $(13)$ | $s_{2}$ |
| $3,2,4,1$ | $(134)$ | $r_{2}$ |
| $3,4,1,2$ | $(13)(24)$ |  |
| $3,4,2,1$ | $(1324)$ | $r_{3}$ |
| $4,1,2,3$ | $(1432)$ |  |
| $4,1,3,2$ | $(142)$ |  |
| $4,2,1,3$ | $(143)$ |  |
| $4,2,3,1$ | $(14)$ |  |
| $4,3,1,2$ | $(1423)$ |  |
| $4,3,2,1$ | $(14)(23)$ | $s_{3}$ |

### 1.7 Klein four-group $V$

We consider a rectangle without arrows, see the figure. The lines of reflection are dashed.


The rectangle is mapped onto itself by a rotation over 0 , a rotation over $\pi$, a reflection in the horizontal axis and a reflexction in the vertical axis. They are denoted as $r_{0}, r_{1}, s_{x}$ respectively $s_{y}$. The group $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, s_{x}, s_{y}\right\}$ has order 4 and is known as the Klein four-group, denoted as $V$. De Cayley tabel is as follows:

|  | $r_{0}$ | $s_{x}$ | $s_{y}$ | $r_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{0}$ | $r_{0}$ | $s_{x}$ | $s_{y}$ | $r_{1}$ |
| $s_{x}$ | $s_{x}$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $s_{y}$ |
| $s_{y}$ | $s_{y}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{0}$ | $s_{x}$ |
| $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $s_{y}$ | $s_{x}$ | $r_{0}$ |

We also consider the set $\{1,3,5,7\}$. Multiplication is modulo 8 (that is, subtract multiples of 8 until the result is $0,1,2,3,4,5,6$ or 7 ). Modulo is usually abbreviated to $\bmod$. For example, $5 \times 7 \bmod 8=35 \bmod 8=3$. The Cayley table is

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 |

The two previous Cayley tables have the same structure. Although rotations and reflections may seem to have nothing to do with multiplications modulo 8 , the Cayley tables learns that $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, s_{x}, s_{y}\right\}$ and $\{1,3,5,7\}$ are isomorphic.

### 1.8 The group $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$

The cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ (also written as $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ ) is the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ where addition is modulo $n$. For example, $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}=\{0,1,2\}$ where addition is modulo 3 . The Cayley table for $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ is

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |

The table has the same structure as for $C_{3}$, so $C_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$. In general $C_{n} \cong \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n$.

The general linear group $G L(n, \mathbb{F})$ is a group of $n \times n$ invertible (non-zero determinant) matrices with matrix elements in $\mathbb{F} ; \mathbb{F}$ can for instance be $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. However, $\mathbb{F}$ can also be $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. The special linear group $S L(n, \mathbb{F})$ is a group of $n \times n$ matrices with determinant equal to 1 and with matrix elements in $\mathbb{F}$. Also here $\mathbb{F}$ can be $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. In words, modular counting can also be applied to matrix elements. For instance, $G L(2, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ is a group of $2 \times 2$ matrices with matrix elements in $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$. Ignoring the determinant this would lead to $3^{4}=81$ possible matrices. A non-zero determinant, calculated $(\bmod 3)$, reduces the number of possible matrices to 48 . For the group $S L(2, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})$ this is further reduced to 24 . As another example we consider the group $G L(2, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$, which is identical to $S L(2, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$. Ignoring the determinant this would lead to $2^{4}=16$ possible matrices. A non-zero determinant, calculated $(\bmod 2)$, reduces the number of possible matrices to 6 . These 6 different matrices are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
B_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) . \tag{1.16}
\end{array}
$$

The Cayley table for these matrices have the same structure as $C_{3}: G L(2, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_{3}$. Since $C_{3} \cong S_{3}$ also $G L(2, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \cong S_{3}$.

### 1.9 Number of groups of order $n$

In all the tables shown an element never occurs more than once in a row (or in a column). The reason for this is as follows. Consider a group consisting of the different elements $\{a, b, c, d, \ldots\}$. Suppose that $b$ followed by $a$ has the same result as $c$ followed by $a$. That would imply $a b=a c$. Since each element of a group has an inverse, we can write $a b=a c \rightarrow a^{-1} a b=a^{-1} a c \rightarrow b=c$. The latter contradicts the initial assumption of $b$ and $c$ being different elements.

The question arises: how many groups of order $n$ have a different (not isomorphic) Cayley table? Without specifying them we denote the elements as $e, f, g$, etc., where $e$ is the unit element. For order 1 there is just 1 element: $e$. So, there is just 1 table possible:


For order 2 we have: $\{e, f\}$. There is just 1 table possible, isomorphic to the table of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ :


For order 3 there are 3 elements: $\{e, f, g\}$. To create the table $f^{2}=e$ is not possible. The only possibility, $f^{2}=g$, leads to 1 table, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ :

|  | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ |
| $f$ | $f$ | $g$ | $e$ |
| $g$ | $g$ | $e$ | $f$ |

For order 4 there are 4 elements: $\{e, f, g, h\}$. The table can be partly filled:

|  | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| $f$ | $f$ |  |  |  |
| $g$ | $g$ |  |  |  |
| $h$ | $h$ |  |  |  |

To complete the row for $f$ we have three options. The first is $f^{2}=g$. The requirement that each element occurs only once in a row or column limits the options for further filling the table to just one possibility:

|  | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| $f$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ | $e$ |
| $g$ | $g$ | $h$ | $e$ | $f$ |
| $h$ | $h$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ |

With $e \leftrightarrow 0, f \leftrightarrow 1, g \leftrightarrow 2$ and $h \leftrightarrow 3$ one sees the table is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$.
For the second option, $f^{2}=h$, one is forced to the following table:

|  | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| $f$ | $f$ | $h$ | $e$ | $g$ |
| $g$ | $g$ | $e$ | $h$ | $f$ |
| $h$ | $h$ | $g$ | $f$ | $e$ |

That the latter table also is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ can be seen by interchanging the rows and columns for $g$ and $h$ followed by replacing $g$ for $h$ and $h$ for $g$. It can also be seen from the elements following cyclic from $f: f=f^{1}, h=f^{2}, g=f h=f^{3}, e=f g=f^{4}$.

For the third option, $f^{2}=e$, it turns out we have two possibilities for further filling:

|  | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| $f$ | $f$ | $e$ | $h$ | $g$ |
| $g$ | $g$ | $h$ | $f$ | $e$ |
| $h$ | $h$ | $g$ | $e$ | $f$ |


|  | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| $f$ | $f$ | $e$ | $h$ | $g$ |
| $g$ | $g$ | $h$ | $e$ | $f$ |
| $h$ | $h$ | $g$ | $f$ | $e$ |

The left and right table are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ respectively $V$. So, there are 2 groups of order $4: \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ and $V . V$ is the smallest non-cyclic group. Cyclic groups are always Abelian. Non-cyclic groups are either Abelian or non-Abelian. The non-cyclic group $V$ is Abelian while, for instance, the non-cyclic group $D_{3}$ is non-Abelian.

For order 5 one finds only 1 table isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$. For order 6 one finds two tables: one isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ and one isomorphic to $D_{3} . D_{3}$ is the smallest non-Abelian group.

If the order of a group is a prime $p$, there is just 1 table. The table is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.

### 1.10 Subgroups and classes

That $V$ and $D_{3}$ are not cyclic groups can already be seen from the structure of the table: the elements seem to be divided in blocks: $2 \times 2$ blocks for $V$ and $3 \times 3$ blocks for $D_{3}$. In $V$ is $\{e, f\}$ a subgroup of order 2. Also $\{e, g\}$ and $\{e, h\}$ are subgroups of order 2. The unit element $e$ is a subgroup of order 1. The order of a subgroup is a divisor of the order of the group. A group whose order is a prime $p$ can only have $e$ as a subgroup. It therefore has only a single table: a table isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.

The group $D_{3}$ has 1 subgroup of order 1: $r_{0}, 3$ subgroups of order 2: $\left\{r_{0}, s_{0}\right\},\left\{r_{0}, s_{1}\right\},\left\{r_{0}, s_{2}\right\}$, and 1 subgroup of order 3: $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$. For $D_{3}$ we can calculate the result of $g r_{1} g^{-1}$, where $g$ runs through all the elements of $D_{3}$, thus $r_{0} r_{1} r_{0}^{-1}, r_{1} r_{1} r_{1}^{-1}, r_{2} r_{1} r_{2}^{-1}, s_{0} r_{1} s_{0}^{-1}, s_{1} r_{1} s_{1}^{-1}$ and $s_{2} r_{1} s_{2}^{-1}$. The result is either $r_{1}$ or $r_{2}$. For each $g$ also $g r_{2} g^{-1}$ is either $r_{1}$ or $r_{2}$. The set $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ therefore is a conjugacy class. Similarly one finds that $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ is a conjugacy class. The unit element, $r_{0}$, also is a conjugacy class. So, $D_{3}$ has 3 different conjugacy classes. A subgroup consisting of complete conjugacy classes is called a normal subgroup. For instance for $D_{3}$ is $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ a normal subgroup, while the subgroup $\left\{r_{0}, s_{0}\right\}$ is not a normal subgroup since it does not contain the complete conjugacy class $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. The subgroup $\left\{r_{0}\right\}$ is a normal subgroup $D_{3}$; a unit element always is a normal subgroup. In summary, $D_{3}$ has 3 classes, 5 subgroups and 2 of the 5 subgroups are normal subgroups.

## Chapter 2

## Modular Arithmetic

### 2.1 Some number theory

In number theory an important role is played by the prime numbers. The prime-counting function $\pi(x)$ counts the number of primes smaller than or equal to $x$. For instance, $\pi(11)=5$ since there are 5 primes ( $2,3,5,7$ and 11) smaller than or equal to 11 . A well known approximations for $\pi(x)$ is $\alpha(x)=\frac{x}{\ln x}$. A better approximation is $\operatorname{Li}(x)=\int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{\ln t} \mathrm{~d} t$, which requires a numerical evaluation. A convenient approximation is

$$
\mu(x)=\frac{x}{\ln x}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ln x}\right) .
$$

In the figure below all four functions are shown or $x \leq 1000, \alpha(x)$ in blue, $\operatorname{Li}(x)$ in orange, $\mu(x)$ in green and $\pi(x)$ in black.


For $x>8 \cdot 10^{3}$ the approximation $\operatorname{Li}(x)$ performs on average better than $\mu(x)$. For large $x$ the performance of three approximations are tabulated:

| $10^{n}$ | $\alpha(x) / \pi(x)$ | $\operatorname{Li}(x) / \pi(x)$ | $\mu(x) / \pi(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1}$ | 1.08574 | 1.28011 | 1.55727 |
| $10^{2}$ | 0.86859 | 1.16324 | 1.05720 |
| $10^{3}$ | 0.86170 | 1.05098 | 0.98644 |
| $10^{4}$ | 0.88343 | 1.01309 | 0.97935 |
| $10^{5}$ | 0.90553 | 1.00383 | 0.98419 |
| $10^{6}$ | 0.92209 | 1.00164 | 0.98884 |
| $10^{7}$ | 0.93355 | 1.00051 | 0.99147 |
| $10^{8}$ | 0.94224 | 1.00003 | 0.99339 |
| $10^{9}$ | 0.94901 | 0.99996 | 0.99481 |
| $10^{10}$ | 0.95438 | 0.99995 | 0.99583 |
| $10^{11}$ | 0.95874 | 0.99992 | 0.99659 |
| $10^{12}$ | 0.96233 | 0.99993 | 0.99716 |
| $10^{13}$ | 0.96535 | 0.99994 | 0.99759 |
| $10^{14}$ | 0.96791 | 0.99994 | 0.99794 |
| $10^{15}$ | 0.97013 | 0.99995 | 0.99821 |
| $10^{16}$ | 0.97205 | 0.99992 | 0.99844 |
| $10^{17}$ | 0.97374 | 0.99992 | 0.99862 |
| $10^{18}$ | 0.97524 | 0.99993 | 0.99877 |
| $10^{19}$ | 0.97658 | 0.99993 | 0.99890 |
| $10^{20}$ | 0.97778 | 0.99994 | 0.99901 |
| $10^{21}$ | 0.97886 | 0.99994 | 0.99911 |
| $10^{22}$ | 0.97984 | 0.99995 | 0.99919 |
| $10^{23}$ | 0.98074 | 0.99995 | 0.99926 |
| $10^{24}$ | 0.98156 | 0.99995 | 0.99932 |
| $10^{25}$ | 0.98231 | 0.99995 | 0.99937 |
| $10^{26}$ | 0.98300 | 0.99996 | 0.99942 |

A well known unsolved problem, one of the so called Landau's problems, is Legendre's conjecture: there always exist at least one prime between two consecutive perfect squares.
Let us denote the number of primes between two consecutive squares $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ as $\kappa(n)$. An estimate for $\kappa(n)$ is obtained as follows. Between the squares $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ there are $2 n$ numbers. Half of it will be even and therefore not prime. This leaves $2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ odd numbers. Approximately a third of it will be a multiple of 3 . This leaves $2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3}\right)$ possible primes. Repeating the argument for multiples of 5,7 , and so on, we obtain $\beta(n)=2 n \prod_{p_{k} \leq n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{k}}\right)$ as an estimate for $\kappa(n)$.
From $\mu(x)$ another estimate is obtained: $\mu\left((n+1)^{2}\right)-\mu\left(n^{2}\right) \approx \ldots \approx \frac{n+1}{\ln (n+1)}$. We will denote it as $\xi(n)$, thus $\xi(n)=\frac{n+1}{\ln (n+1)}$. In the next figure we have plotted the function $\kappa(n)$ (black) and its estimates $\beta(n)$ (orange) and $\xi(n)$ (green).


The function $\beta(n)$ slightly overestimates. The function $\xi(n)$ follows accurately $\kappa(n)$, even for very large $n$. From $\xi(n)$ we obtain as an estimate for $\pi\left(n^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \xi(k)=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{k}{\ln k}
$$

With the substitution of $x$ for $n^{2}$ this is

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{k}{\ln k} \approx \int_{\sqrt{2}}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{v}{\ln v} \mathrm{~d} v \approx \int_{\sqrt{2}}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{\ln v^{2}} \mathrm{~d} v^{2}=\int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{\ln t} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

This completes the circle since the latter is equal to $\operatorname{Li}(x)$. Although still not proven, the figure above suggests $\kappa(n)>0$ for all $n>0$. The conjecture might be stated a little stronger.

A numerical inspection suggests there always is a prime between $n^{2}$ and $n^{2}+n$ and a prime between $n^{2}+n$ and $(n+1)^{2}$, for $n>1$. If true, it implies $\kappa(n)>2$ for all $n>0$.

Another one of Landau's problems is the Goldbach conjecture: every even number larger than 2 can be written as the sum of two primes.
A lot of even numbers can be written as the sum of two primes in multiple ways. For instance, $20=3+17$ and $20=7+13$. Let us denote the number of ways an even number $2 n$ can be written as a sum of primes as $\lambda(n)$. In the next figure we have plotted the function $\lambda(n)$ (black). The green and orange curves are $\frac{1.5 n}{(\ln n)^{2}}$ respectively $\frac{3 n}{(\ln n)^{2}}$.


The figure above clearly suggests $\lambda(n)>0$ for all $n>1$. Still, it is not proven.

Another one of Landau's problems is the twin prime conjecture: there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+2$ is prime.
The number of twins smaller than or equal to $x$ will be denoted as $\tau(x)$. An estimate for $\tau(x)$ is obtained as follows. From $\xi(n)$ it follows that the probability for a number $x$ between $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ to be prime approximately is $\frac{1}{2 n} \frac{n}{\ln n}=\frac{1}{2 \ln n}$. Assuming the primes between $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ are randomly positioned the probability for a number $x+2$ between $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ to be prime approximately is $\frac{1}{2 \ln n}$. The probability for a twin between $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ therefore is $\frac{1}{4(\ln n)^{2}}$. For the expected number of primes between $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ we then have $2 n \cdot \frac{1}{4(\ln n)^{2}}=\frac{n}{2(\ln n)^{2}}$. This leads to the following estimate:

$$
\tau(x) \approx \sum_{k=2}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{k}{2(\ln k)^{2}} \approx \int_{\sqrt{2}}^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{v}{2(\ln v)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} v=\int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{(\ln t)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

By means of partial integration we find

$$
\int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{(\ln t)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{\ln t} \mathrm{~d} t-\left[\frac{t}{\ln t}\right]_{2}^{x}=\operatorname{Li}(x)-\frac{x}{\ln x}+\frac{2}{\ln 2}
$$

Neglecting the $2 / \ln 2$ and approximating $\operatorname{Li}(x)$ by $\mu(x)$ we obtain

$$
\tau(x) \approx \frac{x}{\ln x}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ln x}\right)-\frac{x}{\ln x}=\frac{x}{(\ln x)^{2}}
$$

In the next figure $\tau(x)$ is plotted against $x$ (black). The green curve is the estimate $\frac{1.63 x}{(\ln x)^{2}}$ for $\tau(x)$. For increasing $x$ a smaller value than 1.63 is required for a good approximation (ultimately to 1.32 for extremely large $x$ ).


The figure above suggests $\tau(x)$ is not limited. Still, it is not proven.

### 2.2 Some modular arithmetic

Modular arithmetic is a sort of cyclic counting; counting modulo a number. For instance, 49 mod 11 means: subtract from 49 a multiple of 11 such that the result is a number larger than or equal to zero and smaller than $11 ; 49 \bmod 11=5$. We also say that 49 is congruent to 5 modulo 11: $49 \equiv 5 \bmod 11$.

Modular arithmetic can be very powerful. To verify that $67^{108}-1$ is divisible by 165 we have to check that $67^{108} \equiv 1 \bmod 165$. Since 165 is $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ we proceed as follows:
$67 \equiv 1 \bmod 3 \rightarrow 67^{108} \equiv 1^{108} \equiv 1 \bmod 3$.
$67 \equiv 2 \bmod 5 \rightarrow 67^{4} \equiv 2^{4} \equiv 1 \bmod 5 \rightarrow 67^{108} \equiv\left(67^{4}\right)^{27} \equiv 1^{27} \equiv 1 \bmod 5$.
$67 \equiv 1 \bmod 11 \rightarrow 67^{108} \equiv 1^{108} \equiv 1 \bmod 11$.
Now if a number is equal to 1 modulo 3 , equal to 1 modulo 5 and equal to 1 modulo 11 it
must be 1 modulo the product of 3,5 and 11 since 3,5 and 11 have no factor in common. Hence, $67^{108}-1$ is divisible by 165 .

Another powerful result is Fermat's 'little theorem': if $p$ is a prime number then $a^{p} \equiv a$ $\bmod p$ for any integer $a$. One way to prove it is by means of induction.
Firstly, $a^{p} \equiv a \bmod p$ is obviously true for $a=0$ and for $a=1$.
Secondly, suppose it is true for $a$ then it follows for $a+1:(a+1)^{p}=\sum_{k=0}^{p}\binom{p}{k} a^{p-k}$. For $p$ a prime and $k>0, k<p$ the numerator of $\binom{p}{k}=\frac{p!}{(p-k)!k!}$ contains a factor $p$ not cancelled out by a number in the denominator. As a consequence $(a+1)^{p} \equiv a^{p}+1^{p} \equiv(a+1) \bmod p$. Thus $a^{p} \equiv a \bmod p$ implies $(a+1)^{p} \equiv(a+1) \bmod p$.

A little investigation learns that $a^{5} \equiv a \bmod 30$ for all $a$. This can be understood with Fermat's little theorem. For example, for $\bmod 3$ we have $a^{5}=a^{3} \cdot a^{2} \equiv a \cdot a^{2}=a^{3} \equiv a$ $\bmod 3$. Similarly, one finds $a^{5} \equiv a \bmod 2$. Together with $a^{5} \equiv a \bmod 5$ this implies $a^{5} \equiv a$ $\bmod 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ since 2,3 and 5 have no common factors. For each $n$ we will search for the largest value $m$ for which $a^{n} \equiv a \bmod m$. It is not necessary to look for values of $m$ larger than $2^{n}-2$ since they will violate $2^{n} \equiv 2 \bmod m$. So, $m$ is a divisor of $2^{n}-2$. Now if $a^{p} \equiv a \bmod p$ for some prime $p$ than also $a^{p+k(p-1)} \equiv a \bmod p$. Thus in $2^{n}-2$ occurs the factor 2 for $n=2,3,4, \ldots, 2+k, \ldots$, the factor 3 for $n=3,5,7, \ldots, 3+2 k, \ldots$, the factor 5 for $n=5,9,13, \ldots, 5+4 k, \ldots$, etc. That is, a prime factor $p$ occurs in $p^{n}-p$ for $n \equiv 1$ $\bmod (p-1)$. In other words: a prime $p$ is a factor of $2^{n}-2$ if $p-1$ divides $n-1$. It quickly delivers the factors $2,3,5,7$ and 13 for $n=13$. Since $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13=2730$ we obtain $a^{13} \equiv a \bmod 2730$ for all $a$. In a similar way one finds for instance $a^{37} \equiv a \bmod 1919190$ or $a^{421} \equiv a \bmod 446617991732222$ 310. It is just a consequence of plain modular arithmetic.

For each $n$ we denote the largest values $m$ for which $a^{n} \equiv a \bmod m$ as $\xi(n)$. A different result is obtained when we search for the largest value $m$ for which $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \bmod m$. For each $n$ we denote these values of $m$ as $\eta(n)$. For the first 25 values of $n$ the values of $\xi(n)$ and $\eta(n)$ are shown in the next table. Always is $\eta(n)$ a divisor of $\xi(n)$.

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xi(n)$ | 2 | 6 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 42 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 66 | 2 | 2730 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 510 | 2 | 798 | 2 | 330 | 2 | 138 | 2 |
| 2730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\eta(n)$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 23 | 1 |

The relation $a^{p} \equiv a \bmod p$ is always true if $p$ is a prime and sometimes true when $p$ is composite. For example, $a^{561} \equiv a \bmod 561$ for all $a$, while the number $561=3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ is
composite. Such a number is a Carmichael number. Since $p-1$ divides 560 for $p=2,3,5,11$, $17,29,41,71,113$ and 281, and since $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41 \cdot 71 \cdot 113 \cdot 281=15037922004270$ we obtain $a^{561} \equiv a \bmod 15037922004270$. Since the primes 3,11 and 17 are factors of 15037922004270 we also have $a^{561} \equiv a \bmod 561$. Alternatively, $n$ is a Carmichael number if it is a product of primes $p$ for which $p-1$ divides $n-1$. Thus 561 is a Carmichael number because $3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17=561$ while 2,10 and 16 are divisors of 560 . In this way the next Carmichael numbers are easily found: $1105=5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17,1729=7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$, etc. The smallest Carmichael number with 4 factors is $41041=7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 41$ and the smallest with 5 factors is $825265=5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 73$, etc. For each number of factors there are infinitely many Carmichael numbers.

An equivalent form of Fermat's little theorem is:
if $p$ is a prime number then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ for any integer $a$ not divisible by $p$.
If $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p$ for some $a \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$ it is certain that $p$ is composite. However, if $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ the number $p$ is either prime or composite. Suppose we want to use Fermat's little theorem as a test for primality of 3281 . If we try it for $a=43$ we get $43^{3280} \equiv 1$ $\bmod 3281$. Let us try $a=150$, then we get $150^{3280} \equiv 1 \bmod 3281$, still not conclusive. If we try $a=2$ we get $2^{3280} \equiv 3197 \not \equiv 1 \bmod 3281$ and we finally know 3281 is composite: $3281=17 \cdot 193$. Among the values 0 through 3280 for $a$ there are 256 values for which $a^{3280} \equiv 1 \bmod 3281$. For the Carmichael number 560 there even are 320 values for $a<561$ for which $a^{560} \equiv 1 \bmod 561$. To know for sure that $p$ is prime $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ has to be tested for all numbers $a<p$. For large $p$ this is time consuming. One can do better with Lehmer's theorem: if there exists an $a$ such that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and $a^{(p-1) / q} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p$ for all primes $q$ dividing $p-1$, then $p$ is prime. Now one can stop testing as soon as an $a$ has been found which satisfies Lehmers theorem.

### 2.3 A small excursion

As a small side step we consider the value of $\rho(n):=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} n \bmod k$. For example, for $n=41$ we have $\rho(41)=297$, the values $n \bmod k$ are shown in the next table

| $k$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $41 \bmod k$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 20 | 19 | 18 | $\ldots$ |

If all the values of the bottom row run from 1 through 40 the sum would be $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 40 \cdot 41=820$. The value $\rho(41)=297$ is a fraction $0.35357 \ldots$ of it. With the table for $n=41$ at hand we can derive an estimation for $\rho(n)$ for large $n$. For $n=41$ we see for $k=21$ through 40 the
values of $41 \bmod k$ run from 1 through 20. It contributes to $\rho(41)$ with $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 20 \cdot 21=210$. For large $n$ this contribution to $\rho(n)$ would be approximately $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2}$. For $k=14$ through 20 the values of $41 \bmod k$ run from 1 through 13 with step size 2 . For large $n$ it would run from 1 through about $n / 3$ with step size 2 . If it would run from 1 through $n / 3$ with step size 1 it would contribute to $\rho(n)$ approximately with $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)^{2}$. Since it runs with step size 2 , the contribution is about the half of it: $\approx \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)^{2}$. For $k=11$ through 13 the values of 41 $\bmod k$ run from 2 through 8 with step size 3 . Its contribution to $\rho(n)$ therefore approximately is: $\approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{2}$. Continuing the line of reasoning we obtain

$$
\rho(n) \approx \frac{1}{2} n^{2}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{2}+\ldots\right)=\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)^{2}} .
$$

With the substitution of $\frac{1}{k(k+1)}=\frac{1}{k}-\frac{1}{k+1}$ the latter can be rearranged to

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)^{2}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}-\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}-\frac{1}{k+1}-\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}=2-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}} .
$$

The latter sum is the Riemann-zèta function $\zeta(2)$, and its value is $\pi^{2} / 6$.
As a result we therefore have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(n)}{n^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} n \bmod k=\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(2-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)=\left(1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}\right) .
$$

In the next diagram the ratio $\rho(n) / n^{2}$ is scattered against $n$. The limit value $1-\pi^{2} / 12$ is shown as a green line.


### 2.4 Euler's theorem

Two numbers $m$ and $n$ are 'relatively prime' if they have no common factors or, alternatively, if $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$. An important function in number theory is Euler's totient function $\varphi$. For a number $n$ Euler's totient function counts the integers $k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ which are relatively prime to $n$. For example, $\varphi(15)=8$ since there are 8 integers relatively prime to $15: 1,2$, $4,7,8,11,13$ and 14 . Other examples: $\varphi(3)=2(1$ and 2 are relatively prime to 3$)$ and $\varphi(5)=4(1,2,3$ and 4 are relatively prime to 5$)$. In general $\varphi(p)=p-1$ if $p$ is a prime. We see $\varphi(3) \cdot \varphi(5)=\varphi(15)$. In general $\varphi(m) \cdot \varphi(n)=\varphi(m n)$ if $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime. Another property is $\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)=p^{k}-p^{k-1}=p^{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$. Any number $n$ can be written as a product of powers of primes (fundamental theorem of arithmetic): $n=p_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{k_{r}}$. From the latter is obtained Euler's product formula:

$$
\varphi(n)=\varphi\left(p_{1}^{k_{1}}\right) \varphi\left(p_{2}^{k_{2}}\right) \cdots \varphi\left(p_{r}^{k_{r}}\right)=n\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{r}}\right) .
$$

Another property is: $\sum \varphi(d)=n$, where the summation is over all the divisors $d$ of $n$.
Euler's theorem reads: $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ for any integer $a$ relatively prime to $n$. If $n$ is a prime $p$ it is reduced to $a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \bmod p$.

Writing $x$ as the sum of $y$ and a multiple of $\varphi(n)$ we have $a^{x}=a^{y+\varphi(n) k}=a^{y}\left(a^{\varphi(n)}\right)^{k} \equiv$ $a^{y} 1^{k} \equiv a^{y} \bmod n$. A consequence of Euler's theorem therefore is: if $x \equiv y \bmod \varphi(n)$, then $a^{x} \equiv a^{y} \bmod n$. If $n$ is a prime $p$ it is reduced to: if $x \equiv y \bmod (p-1)$, then $a^{x} \equiv a^{y} \bmod p$. The latter relation has been applied already in the second section when we searched for the largest value $m$ for which $a^{n} \equiv a \bmod m$ for all $a$.
Here we will search for the smallest value $m$ which for a given $n$ satisfies $a^{m} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ for all $a$ relatively prime to $n$. For each $n$ these values of $m$ is denoted as $\lambda(n)$. $\lambda(n)$ is known as the Carmichael function. For the first 28 values of $n$ the Carmichael function and Euler's totient function are shown in the next table.

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda(n)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 12 | 18 6

$\lambda(n)$ is equal to or a fraction of $\varphi(n)$. If $n$ is a power of an odd prime or twice the power of an odd prime $\lambda(n)=\varphi(n)$. If $n$ is 2 or $4 \lambda(n)=\varphi(n)$. If $n$ is a power of 2 larger than 4 $\lambda(n)=\frac{1}{2} \varphi(n)$. For other composite numbers $n$ other fractions occur.
Carmichael's theorem reads: $a^{\lambda(n)} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ for any integer $a$ relatively prime to $n$. If $n$ is a prime $p$ it is reduced to $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p$.

### 2.5 Rings and fields

A set is a semigroup for a given operation ( + or $\cdot$ or whatever) if it satisfies associativity. A set is a monoid if it satisfies associativity and contains a neutral element. A set is a group if it satisfies associativity, contains a neutral element and each element has an inverse. To numbers we can apply addition and multiplication. For both they can be a group. For instance, the set of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ is a group for addition:

1. $\mathbb{R}$ contains a neutral element, $0: a+0=0+a=a$.
2. each element $a$ of $(\mathbb{R},+)$ has an inverse, $-a: a+(-a)=(-a)+a=0$.
3. associativity is satisfied: $(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$.

The set of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ also is a group for multiplication:

1. $\mathbb{R}$ contains a neutral element, $1: a \cdot 1=1 \cdot+a=a$.
2. each element $a$ (except 0 ) of $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$ has an inverse, $1 / a: a \cdot 1 / a=1 / a \cdot a=1$.
3. associativity is satisfied: $(a \cdot b) \cdot c=a \cdot(b \cdot c)$.

The next two tables show the $\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$ structure for addition respectively multiplication.

| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |


| . | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

We see $\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$ is a group for addition and, if we forget the 0 , a group for multiplication. The situation changes for $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$, see the next tables.

| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |


| $\cdot$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

The set $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ is a group for addition. However, it is not a group for multiplication since 2,3 and 4 have no inverse.

When both operations are considered together one obtains, depending on properties satisfied, rings or fields. To this end it is clarifying to enumerate properties (which should hold for every $a$, for every pair $a, b$ and for every triple $a, b, c$ ) in the following order:

P1: associativity for $(+): a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c$.
P2: neutral element for $(+): a+0=0+a=a$.
P3: inverse for $(+): a+(-a)=(-a)+a=0$.
P4: commutative (Abelian) for (+): $a+b=b+a$.
P5: associativity for $(\cdot): a \cdot(b \cdot c)=(a \cdot b) \cdot c$.
P6: distributivity: $a \cdot(b+c)=a \cdot b+a \cdot c ;(a+b) \cdot c=a \cdot c+b \cdot c$.
P7: neutral element for $(\cdot): a \cdot 1=1 \cdot a=a$.
P8: commutative (Abelian) for (.): $a \cdot b=b \cdot a$.
P9: no divisors of 0 : if $a \cdot b=0$ then $a=0$ or $b=0$.
P10: inverse (except for 0 ) for $(\cdot): a \cdot(1 / a)=(1 / a) \cdot a=1$.
Then we have the following nomenclature:
A set is a semigroup if $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{1}$ is satisfied.
A set is a monoid if $\mathbf{P 1}$ and $\mathbf{P} 2$ are satisfied.
A set is a group if P1, P2 and P3 are satisfied.
A set is a commutative (Abelian) group if $\mathbf{P} 1, \mathbf{P} 2, \mathbf{P} 3$ and $\mathbf{P} 4$ are satisfied.
A set is a semiring, $S R$, if $\mathbf{P} 1, \mathbf{P} 2, \mathbf{P} 4, \mathbf{P} 5$ and $\mathbf{P 6}$ are satisfied.
A set is a ring, $R$, if $\mathbf{P} 1, \mathbf{P} 2, \mathbf{P} 3, \mathbf{P} 4, \mathbf{P} 5$ and $\mathbf{P} 6$ are satisfied.
A set is a unitary ring, $U R$, if $\mathbf{P} 1, \mathbf{P} 2, \mathbf{P} 3, \mathbf{P} 4, \mathbf{P} 5, \mathbf{P} 6$ and $\mathbf{P} 7$ are satisfied.
A set is a commutative unitary ring, $C U R$, if $\mathbf{P} 1$ through $\mathbf{P} 8$ are satisfied.
A set is an integral domain, $I D$, if $\mathbf{P} 1$ through $\mathbf{P 9}$ are satisfied.
A set is a field, $F$, if $\mathbf{P} 1$ through $\mathbf{P} 10$ are satisfied.
As a consequence: $F \subset I D \subset C U R \subset U R \subset R \subset S R$.

Some examples: the set of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ satisfies P1 through P10 and therefore is a field. The same holds for the set of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Also the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ is a field. The set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain (the inverse of for instance 3 is $\frac{1}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ ). In general $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is a ring. For instance, $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ is a ring. The subset $\{0,2,4\}$ of $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ also is a ring (with 4 as neutral element); it is a subring of the ring $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$. We are more specific when we say that $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ is a $C U R$. Similarly, since $\{0,2,4\} \in \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\mathbf{P} 9$ we are more specific when we say that $\{0,2,4\} \in \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ is an $I D . \mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$ is a field. In general, the ring $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is a field if $p$ is a prime.

A 'unit' is an element of a ring which has a multiplicative inverse. For $\mathbb{R}$ every element, except 0 , has an inverse; every element of $\mathbb{R}$ except 0 is a unit. $\mathbb{Z}$ has 1 and -1 as units. The 'unit group' of $\mathbb{Z}$ therefore is $\{1,-1\}$. For $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ is $\{1,5\}$ the unit group. For $\{0,2,4\} \in \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ is $\{4\}$ the unit group. For $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ with $p$ a prime is every element except 0 a unit.

### 2.6 Polynomials

An expression of the form $\mathbb{K}[x]=a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x+a_{0}$ is a polynomial. In short $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}$ is a polynomial (an infinite series such as a Taylor series of $\sin x$ is not a polynomial). The largest power of $x, n$, is the degree of the polynomial. If the largest power is 0 , the polynomial is a constant: $a_{0}$. If the coefficients $a_{i}$ are in a ring $R$, a $U R$, a $C U R$ or an $I D$, then the polynomial also is a ring $R$, a $U R, \mathrm{a} C U R$ or a $I D$ respectively. If the coefficients $a_{i}$ are in a field $F$, then the polynomial is an $I D$; a polynomial in a field requires for the multiplicative inverse a fractional power of $x$ which is outside the definition of a polynomial. Thus, although $\mathbb{R}$ is a field, $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is an $I D$.

A polynomial is reducible if it can be written as a product of factors, where a factor may not be a unit. Some examples:
The polynomial $x^{2}+x+1$ over $\mathbb{C}$ can be factored : $x^{2}+x+1=\left(x+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{3}\right)\left(x+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{3}\right)$, while it can not be factored (is irreducible) over $\mathbb{R}$.
The polynomial $x^{2}-x-1$ over $\mathbb{R}$ can be factored : $x^{2}+x+1=\left(x-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}\right)\left(x+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}\right)$, while it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
The polynomial $x^{2}+\frac{1}{6} x-\frac{1}{6}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ can be factored: $x^{2}+\frac{1}{6} x-\frac{1}{6}=\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(x-\frac{1}{3}\right)$.
The polynomial $x^{2}-3 x+2$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ is reducible: $x^{2}-3 x+2=(x-1)(x-2)$.
The polynomial $3 x+1$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ is irreducible, $3\left(x+\frac{1}{3}\right)$ is not allowed since $\frac{1}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. The polynomial $3 x+1$ also is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}, 3\left(x+\frac{1}{3}\right)$ is not allowed since 3 is a unit of $\mathbb{Q}$. If a polynomial is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. The reverse may not be true: The polynomial $3 x+3$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ is reducible: $3 x+3=3(x+1)$, while it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q} ; 3$ is a unit (invertible) in $\mathbb{Q}$, while not a unit in $\mathbb{Z}$. The greatest common divisor of the coefficients of the latter polynomial is 3 . Therefore 3 can be separated without causing a fraction in the other factor. Hence, if a polynomial is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the greatest common divisor of the coefficients is equal to 1 , then it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$. A polynomial for which the greatest common divisor of the coefficients is equal to 1 is called a primitive polynomial.

Some modular arithmetic examples: The polynomial $x^{2}+x+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is irreducible. Indeed, for $x=0$ we have $0^{2}+0+1 \equiv 1 \not \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and for $x=1$ we have $1^{2}+1+1 \equiv 1 \not \equiv 0$ $\bmod 2$; there are no roots. For the polynomial $x^{2}+x+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ we find for $x=1$ that $1^{2}+1+1 \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Hence, the polynomial $x^{2}+x+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ is reducible: $(x-1)^{2}=x^{2}-2 x+1 \equiv x^{2}+x+1 \bmod 3$. The next value for $n$ for which $x^{2}+x+1$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is $n=7:(x-2)(x-4)=x^{2}-6 x+8 \equiv x^{2}+x+1 \bmod 7$. The list goes on for $n=13,19,21,31, \ldots$. The polynomial $x^{2}+x+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / 91 \mathbb{Z}$ can be factored in two ways: $(x-9)(x-81)=x^{2}-90 x+729 \equiv x^{2}+x+1 \bmod 91$ and $(x-16)(x-74)=x^{2}-90 x+1184 \equiv x^{2}+x+1 \bmod 91$. Notice that 91 is not a prime
number. There are more examples for which the polynomial $x^{2}+x+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ can be factored in multiple ways if $n$ is not a prime. If for a prime $p$ the polynomial $x^{2}+x+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is reducible, it can be factored in only one way.

As another example we consider the polynomial $x^{2}+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. It is reducible for $n=2$ : $(x-1)^{2}=x^{2}-2 x+1 \equiv x^{2}+1 \bmod 2$. Other values for $n$ for which the polynomial $x^{2}+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is reducible are $5,10,13,17,25, \ldots$. For the composite number $n=65$ we have the first value for which the polynomial $x^{2}+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ can be factored in two ways: $(x-8)(x-57)=x^{2}-65 x+456 \equiv x^{2}+1 \bmod 65$ and $(x-18)(x-47)=x^{2}-65 x+846 \equiv x^{2}+1$ $\bmod 65$. Again, for a prime $p$ the polynomial $x^{2}+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ can be factored, if it is reducible, in only one way. The reducibility of the polynomial $x^{2}+1$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ for a prime $p$, thus $x^{2}+1 \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for some $x$, implies that $x^{2}+1$ is equal to $p$ or a multiple of $p$ for some $x$. This brings us to the fourth Landau problem: are there infinitely many primes of the form $k^{2}+1$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us denote the number of such primes smaller than $n^{2}+1$ as $\gamma(n)$. An estimate for $\gamma(n)$ is obtained as follows. In the first section we saw the probability for a number between $n^{2}$ and $(n+1)^{2}$ to be prime approximately is $\frac{1}{2 \ln n}$. This leads to the following estimate:

$$
\gamma(n) \approx \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{2 \ln k} \approx \int_{2}^{n} \frac{1}{2 \ln t} \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Li}(n) \approx \frac{1}{2} \mu(n) \approx \frac{0.5 n}{\ln n}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ln n}\right)
$$

In the next figure we have plotted the function $\gamma(n)$ (black). The green curve is $\frac{0.71 n}{\ln n}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ln n}\right)$.


The estimate suggests $\gamma(n)$ will not stop growing. Still, it is an open problem.

### 2.7 The Riemann zeta function

As another small excursion we consider the Taylor expansion of $\sin x / x$ : s

$$
\frac{\sin x}{x}=1-\frac{x^{2}}{3!}+\frac{x^{4}}{5!}-\frac{x^{6}}{7!}+\ldots
$$

Since $\sin x$ has zero's for $x=n \pi, n \in \mathbb{Z},(\sin x) / x$ also has zero's for $x=n \pi$ except for $n=0$. Knowing its roots the function $(\sin x) / x$ can be approximated as follows

$$
\frac{\sin x}{x} \approx\left(1-\frac{x}{\pi}\right)\left(1+\frac{x}{\pi}\right)\left(1-\frac{x}{2 \pi}\right)\left(1+\frac{x}{2 \pi}\right)\left(1-\frac{x}{3 \pi}\right)\left(1+\frac{x}{3 \pi}\right) \ldots
$$

or

$$
\frac{\sin x}{x} \approx\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{\pi^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{9 \pi^{2}}\right) \ldots
$$

Euler already proved the latter equation is exact. That is, the approximation symbol $\approx$ actually is an equality symbol $=$. For our purpose we write the latter equation as

$$
\frac{\sin x}{x}=\left(1-y_{1}\right)\left(1-y_{2}\right)\left(1-y_{3}\right) \cdots=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-y_{k}\right)
$$

where $y_{k}=x^{2} /(k \pi)^{2}$. Removing the brackets and grouping similar products, we obtain

$$
\frac{\sin x}{x}=1-\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\ldots\right)+\left(y_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} y_{3}+\ldots+y_{2} y_{3}+\ldots\right)-\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}+\ldots\right)
$$

which can be systematically denoted as

$$
\frac{\sin x}{x}=S_{0}-S_{1}+S_{2}-S_{3}+\ldots=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} S_{n}
$$

where

$$
S_{0}=1, S_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_{i}, S_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j>i}^{\infty} y_{i} y_{j}, S_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j>i}^{\infty} \sum_{k>j}^{\infty} y_{i} y_{j} y_{k} \text {, etc. }
$$

The factors $S_{n}$ can be systematically expressed as follows:
$S_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1} S_{n-k} T_{k}$, where $T_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_{k}^{n}$.
Solving for $S_{n}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}=1, \\
& S_{1}=T_{1}, \\
& S_{2}=\left(T_{1}^{2}-T_{2}\right) / 2!, \\
& S_{3}=\left(T_{1}^{3}-3 T_{1} T_{2}+2 T_{3}\right) / 3!, \\
& S_{4}=\left(T_{1}^{4}-6 T_{1}^{2} T_{2}+3 T_{2}^{2}+8 T_{1} T_{3}-6 T_{4}\right) / 4!
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on.
Since $y_{k}=x^{2} /(k \pi)^{2}$ we have $T_{n}=\frac{x^{2 n}}{\pi^{2 n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2 n}}$, and since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2 n}}$ is equal to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(2 n)$, we can write $T_{n}=\frac{x^{2 n}}{\pi^{2 n}} \zeta(2 n)$. As a result we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sin x}{x}= & 1-\frac{x^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \zeta(2)+\frac{x^{4}}{2!\pi^{4}}\left(\zeta^{2}(2)-\zeta(4)\right)-\frac{x^{6}}{3!\pi^{6}}\left(\zeta^{3}(2)-3 \zeta(2) \zeta(4)+2 \zeta(6)\right)+ \\
& +\frac{x^{8}}{4!\pi^{8}}\left(\zeta^{4}(2)-6 \zeta^{2}(2) \zeta(4)+3 \zeta^{2}(4)+8 \zeta(2) \zeta(6)-6 \zeta(8)\right)-\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparison with the series $\frac{\sin x}{x}=1-\frac{x^{2}}{3!}+\frac{x^{4}}{5!}-\frac{x^{6}}{7!}+\frac{x^{8}}{9!}-\ldots$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3!} & =\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \zeta(2) \\
\frac{1}{5!} & =\frac{1}{2!\pi^{4}}\left(\zeta^{2}(2)-\zeta(4)\right) \\
\frac{1}{7!} & =\frac{1}{3!\pi^{6}}\left(\zeta^{3}(2)-3 \zeta(2) \zeta(4)+2 \zeta(6)\right) \\
\frac{1}{9!} & =\frac{1}{4!\pi^{8}}\left(\zeta^{4}(2)-6 \zeta^{2}(2) \zeta(4)+3 \zeta^{2}(4)+8 \zeta(2) \zeta(6)-6 \zeta(8)\right), \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Successively solving for $\zeta(2), \zeta(4), \zeta(6)$ and $\zeta(8)$, we obtain $\zeta(2)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}$ as we used it in the previous excursion, and $\zeta(4)=\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}, \zeta(6)=\frac{\pi^{6}}{945}, \zeta(8)=\frac{\pi^{8}}{9450}$ and so on.

We can also consider finite sums of positive powers of integers such as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{1}{2} n^{2}+\frac{1}{2} n, & \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}=\frac{1}{3} n^{3}+\frac{1}{2} n^{2}+\frac{1}{6} n \\
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{3}=\frac{1}{4} n^{4}+\frac{1}{2} n^{3}+\frac{1}{4} n^{2}, & \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{4}=\frac{1}{5} n^{5}+\frac{1}{2} n^{4}+\frac{1}{3} n^{3}-\frac{1}{30} n, \text { etc. }
\end{array}
$$

In general $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{m}=\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m+1}{j} B_{j} n^{m+1-j}$, with $B_{j}$ the $j$-th the Bernoulli number:

| $j$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{j}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{30}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{42}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{30}$ | 0 | $\frac{5}{66}$ | 0 | $-\frac{691}{2730}$ | 0 | $\frac{7}{6}$ | 0 | $-\frac{3617}{510}$ | 0 | $\frac{43867}{798}$ |

The Bernoulli numbers are related to the Riemann zeta functions. One of the relations is

$$
\zeta(2 n)=\frac{(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}(2 \pi)^{2 n}}{2(2 n)!} \quad, \quad n \geq 0
$$

### 2.8 Divisor sum

The sum of the divisors of an integer $n$ is denoted as $\sigma(n)$. For instance 12 has $1,2,3,4,6$ and 12 as divisors, so $\sigma(12)=1+2+3+4+6+12=28$. Similarly, $\sigma(7)=1+7=8$. If $m$ and $n$ have no common divisors then $\sigma(m n)=\sigma(m) \sigma(n)$. Thus $\sigma(84)=\sigma(12) \sigma(7)=28 \cdot 8=224$. If $p$ is prime then $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)=1+p+p^{2}+\ldots+p^{k}=\left(p^{k+1}-1\right) /(p-1)$.

If $n=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{k_{i}}$ then $\sigma(n)=\prod_{i} \sigma\left(p_{i}^{k_{i}}\right)=\prod_{i} \frac{p_{i}^{k_{i}+1}-1}{p_{i}-1}$.
Perfect numbers are numbers for which $\sigma(n)=2 n$. According to the Euclid-Euler theorem a number $n=2^{p-1}\left(2^{p}-1\right)$ is perfect if $p$ is a prime and $2^{p}-1$ is prime. Primes of the type $2^{p}-1$ are known as Mersenne primes. It then follows that $\sigma(n)=\sigma\left(2^{p-1}\right) \sigma\left(2^{p}-1\right)=\left(2^{p}-1\right) 2^{p}=2 n$. The smallest perfect number is $2^{1}\left(2^{2}-1\right)=6$, the second is $2^{2}\left(2^{3}-1\right)=28$, the third is $2^{4}\left(2^{5}-1\right)=496$, the fourth is $2^{6}\left(2^{7}-1\right)=8128$, the fifth is $2^{12}\left(2^{13}-1\right)=33550336$. The number $2^{10}\left(2^{11}-1\right)=2096128$ is not perfect since $2^{11}-1=2047=23 \cdot 89$ is not prime. A number $n$ is multiperfect if $\sigma(n)$ is a multiple (larger than 2) of $n$. Examples, for which $\sigma(n)=3 n$ are $120,672,523776, \ldots . \sigma(n)=4 n$ for $30240,32760, \ldots$.

If we add $\sigma(1)$ through $\sigma(41)$ the result is 1384 . In section 3 we saw the sum of $41 \bmod 1$ through $41 \bmod 41$ is equal to 297 . It is no coincidence that $1384+297=1681=41^{2}$. In general

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} n \bmod k+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k)=n^{2} .
$$

As before, we denote the sum of the remainders as $\rho$. The relation then reads

$$
\rho(n)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k)=n^{2},
$$

where $\rho(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} n \bmod k$ and where $\sigma$ is the divisor sum: $\sigma(k)=\sum_{d \mid k} d, d \mid k$ means $d$ a divisor of $k$.
A proof of the relation is as follows. Since $n=1+((n-1) \bmod k)$ if $k$ is not a divisor of $n$ and $n=0=1+((n-1) \bmod k)-k$ if $k$ is a divisor of $n$ it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\sum_{k=1}^{n}(n \bmod k)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(1+((n-1) \bmod k))\right)-\sum_{k \mid n} k \\
=\sum_{k=1}^{n} 1+((n-1) \bmod n)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}((n-1) \bmod k)-\sigma(n) \\
=2 n-1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}((n-1) \\
\bmod k)-\sigma(n) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence

$$
\rho(n)-\rho(n-1)=2 n-1-\sigma(n) .
$$

A repetitive application of the latter leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(n) & =\rho(1)+\sum_{k=2}^{n}(\rho(k)-\rho(k-1))=0+2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} k-\sum_{k=2}^{n} 1-\sum_{k=2}^{n} \sigma(k) \\
= & \left(n^{2}+n-2\right)-(n-1)+\sigma(1)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k)=n^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For convenience a self explanatory scheme for $n=9$ is given below.

| $k \rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | sum of divisors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| divisors of 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma(1)=1$ |
| divisors of 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma(2)=3$ |
| divisors of 3 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma(3)=4$ |
| divisors of 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma(4)=7$ |
| divisors of 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | $\sigma(5)=6$ |
| divisors of 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | $\sigma(6)=12$ |
| divisors of 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | $\sigma(7)=8$ |
| divisors of 8 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  | $\sigma(8)=15$ |
| divisors of 9 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | $\sigma(9)=13$ |
| 9 mod $k$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\rho(9)=12$ |
| sum | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 81 |

From the relation $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(n)}{n^{2}}=1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}$ and the relation $\rho(n)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k)=n^{2}$ we obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}$.

## Chapter 3

## Elliptic curves

### 3.1 Rational points on a circle

As a start we consider rational points on a circle.



In the left side of the figure a line is drawn through $A(-1,0)$ and $C(u, v)$ where $A$ and $C$ are both on a unit circle. As can be calculated the line intersects the $y$ axis in $B\left(0, \frac{v}{u+1}\right)$. This implies that the coordinates of $B$ are rational if the coordinates $u$ and $v$ of $C$ are rational. In the right side of the figure a line is drawn through $A(-1,0)$ and $B(0, t)$. The line intersects the circle at $C\left(\frac{1-t^{2}}{1+t^{2}}, \frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}}\right)$. This implies that the coordinates of $C$ are rational if the $y$ coordinate $t$ of $B$ is rational. As a consequence, every rational point $C$ on the circle is parameterised by a rational parameter $t$. If we write the rational number $t$ as $t=\frac{m}{k}$, such that $\operatorname{gcd}(m, k)=1$, then the coordinates of $C$ read $u=\frac{k^{2}-m^{2}}{k^{2}+m^{2}}$ and $v=\frac{2 m k}{k^{2}+m^{2}}$. Therefore we can find all right triangles with integer sides $a, b$ and $c$ (Pythagorean triples, satisfying $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$ ) by taking $a=k^{2}-m^{2}, b=2 k m$ and $c=k^{2}+m^{2}$ and substituting integer values for $k$ and $m$. The important conclusion is that there are rational points on the circle
$x^{2}+y^{2}=1$. This is not the case for the curves $x^{n}+y^{n}=1$ for $n=3,4, \ldots$. (Fermat's theorem, proven by Wiles). There are no rational points on, for instance, the circle $x^{2}+y^{2}=3$. So, the occurrence of rational points on a circle $x^{2}+y^{2}=a$ depends on $a$. The possibilities are extended if we apply modular counting. For instance, $x^{2}+y^{2} \equiv 3 \bmod 7$ is satisfied for $x \equiv 1$ $\bmod 7$ and $y \equiv 4 \bmod 7$. There are more $x, y$ pairs satisfying $x^{2}+y^{2} \equiv 3 \bmod 7$, see the next figure.


### 3.2 Right triangles with integer area

As a small excursion we consider right triangles with rational sides for which the area is an integer. This is always the case for Pythagorean triples. For instance, the Pythagorean $(3,4,5)$ triangle has area 6 . The Pythagorean $(9,40,41)$ triangle has area 180 . Since $180=5 \cdot 6^{2}$ we can obtain a smaller integer area by dividing the sides by 6 . Then the right triangle ( $1 \frac{1}{2}, 6 \frac{2}{3}, 6 \frac{5}{6}$ ) has area 5 . Another example with area 5 is $\left(3 \frac{43}{492}, 3 \frac{363}{1519}, 4 \frac{354769}{747348}\right)$, see next figure.


For right triangles with rational sides the smallest integer area is 5 . Examples of right triangles with area 6 are shown below.


The integer area $n$ for right triangles with rational sides are known as 'integer congruent numbers'. The sequence of integer congruent numbers starts with $5,6,7,13,14,15,20,21,22,23$, $24,28,29,30,31,34, \ldots$ If we denote the rational sides of a right triangle as $a, b$ and $c$, with $c$ the hypothenuse, we have, next to the Pythagorean relation $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$, for the area the relation $n=\frac{1}{2} a b$. Setting $x=\frac{n b}{c-a}$ and $y= \pm \frac{2 n^{2}}{c-a}$ it follows that the pair $(x, y)$ satisfy the equation $y^{2}=x^{3}-n^{2} x$. This is an equation for an elliptic curve. If $a, b, c$ and thus also $n$ are rational, then $x$ and $y$ are rational and the pair $(x, y)$ is a rational point on the elliptic curve.

Two $n=5$ examples: for $(a, b, c)=\left(1 \frac{1}{2}, 6 \frac{2}{3}, 6 \frac{5}{6}\right)$ we obtain $\left(6 \frac{1}{4}, 9 \frac{3}{8}\right)$ and $\left(6 \frac{1}{4},-9 \frac{3}{8}\right)$ as a rational point on the elliptic curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$. By changing roles of $a$ and $b$ we obtain for $(a, b, c)=\left(6 \frac{2}{3}, 1 \frac{1}{2}, 6 \frac{5}{6}\right)$ the rational point $(45,300)$ and $(45,-300)$. Moreover, taking opposite sign for $c$ leads to additional rational points: $(-4,-6),(-4,6),\left(-\frac{5}{9},-3 \frac{19}{27}\right)$ and $\left(-\frac{5}{9}, 3 \frac{19}{27}\right)$.
In a similar way we find from the $\left(3 \frac{43}{492}, 3 \frac{363}{1519}, 4 \frac{354769}{747348}\right)$ right triangle the following rational points on the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x:\left(11 \frac{97}{144}, 36 \frac{71}{1728}\right),\left(11 \frac{97}{144},-36 \frac{71}{1728}\right),\left(12 \frac{473}{961}, 40 \frac{13760}{29791}\right)$, $\left(12 \frac{473}{961},-40 \frac{13760}{29791}\right),\left(-2 \frac{238}{1681},-6 \frac{42174}{68921}\right),\left(-2 \frac{238}{1681}, 6 \frac{42174}{68921}\right),\left(-2 \frac{3}{2401},-6 \frac{56706}{117649}\right)$ and $\left(-2 \frac{3}{2401}, 6 \frac{56706}{117649}\right)$. These are not the only rational points on the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$. Other rational points are, for instance, the zero's $(-5,0), 0,0)$ and $(5,0)$.

Two $n=6$ examples: from the $(3,4,5)$ triangle we obtain $(12,36),(12,-36),(18,72)$, $(18,-72),(-3,-9),(-3,9),(-2,-8)$ and $(-2,8)$ as rational points on the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-36 x$. From the $\left(17 \frac{1}{7}, \frac{7}{10}, 17 \frac{11}{70}\right)$ triangle we obtain $\left(6 \frac{1}{4}, 4 \frac{3}{8}\right),\left(6 \frac{1}{4},-4 \frac{3}{8}\right),(294,5040),(294,-5040)$, $\left(-5 \frac{19}{25},-4 \frac{4}{125}\right),\left(-5 \frac{19}{25}, 4 \frac{4}{125}\right),\left(-\frac{6}{49},-2 \frac{34}{343}\right)$ and $\left(-\frac{6}{49}, 2 \frac{34}{343}\right)$ as rational points on the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-36 x$.

### 3.3 Elliptic curves

Third degree equations in two variables are in general given by

$$
c_{1} y^{3}+c_{2} y^{2} x+c_{3} y x^{2}+c_{4} x^{3}+c_{5} y^{2}+c_{6} y x+c_{7} x^{2}+c_{8} y+c_{9} x+c_{10}=0,
$$

where the coefficients $c_{i}$ are elements of a field. If the equation is not singular, its curve is called an elliptic curve. For our purpose we restrict to the situation where the $c_{i}$ are elements
of $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. For these fields the elliptic curve can, by means of change of variables and coordinate transformations, be rewritten in the Weierstrass form: $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$.

For $b=0$ and $a=-25$ respectively $a=-36$ we obtain the elliptic curves from the previous section. They are shown, together with some of their rational points, in the next figure.


Figure 3.1: Left: the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ and some rational points found from the $\left(1 \frac{1}{2}, 6 \frac{2}{3}, 6 \frac{5}{6}\right)$ right triangle (green) and the ( $3 \frac{43}{492}, 3 \frac{363}{1519}, 4 \frac{354769}{74348}$ ) right triangle (orange). Right: the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-36 x$ and some rational points found from the $(3,4,5)$ right triangle (green) and the ( $17 \frac{1}{7}, \frac{7}{10}, 17 \frac{11}{70}$ ) right triangle (orange).

For the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-n^{2} x$ the zeros are: $y=0 \rightarrow x\left(x^{2}-n^{2}\right)=0 \rightarrow x=0, x=-n, x=n$. For $-n \leq x \leq 0$ and $x \geq n$ the curve has a real value for $y$; outside these ranges the value of $y$ is complex.

The shape of the elliptic curve depends on the coefficients $a$ and $b$. This is illustrated in the next matrix of figures. For $y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x-18$ there is a single real zero at ( 3,0 ) (upper left). If the value of $b$ is increased the zero moves to the left. For $y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x-2$ there are three real zero's: one at $(2,0)$ and a twofold one at $(-1,0)$ (upper middle). For $y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x$ there are three real zero's: $(-\sqrt{3}, 0),(0,0)$ and $(\sqrt{3}, 0)$ (upper right).
For $y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x+2$ there are three real zero's: one at $(-2,0)$ and a twofold one at $(1,0)$ (middle left). For $y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x+8 \frac{1}{8}$ there is a single real zero at ( $-2 \frac{1}{2}, 0$ ) (central figure). For $y^{2}=x^{3}$ there is a threefold zero at $(0,0)$ (middle right). For $y^{2}=x^{3}+8$ there is a single zero at $(-2,0)$ (lower left). For $y^{2}=x^{3}+3 x-4$ there is a single zero at $(1,0)$ (lower middle) and for $y^{2}=x^{3}+3 x+4$ there is a single zero at $(-1,0)$ (lower right).

The curve for $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ is singular if a twofold or threefold zero is present. A singularity occurs if $4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}=0$. A twofold singularity is the case for $a=-3, b=-2$ (upper middle) and $a=-3, b=2$ (middle left). A threefold singularity is the case for $a=0, b=0$ (middle right). The points of singularity are denoted as $S$.


### 3.4 Arithmetic on elliptic curves

A point $P$ and a point $Q$ on an elliptic curve can be composed ('added') to a point $P+Q$ as follows: draw a vertical line through the intersection point of the line through $P$ and $Q$ with the elliptic curve, the intersection point of the vertical line with the elliptic curve is $P+Q$. It is illustrated in the left diagram of the next figure. Now let $Q$ approach $P$. In the limit that
$Q \rightarrow P$ the line through $P$ and $Q$ becomes the tangent line at $P$. This is illustrated in the right diagram of the next figure.


For the line through $P\left(x_{P}, y_{P}\right)$ and $Q\left(x_{Q}, y_{Q}\right)$ we have the equation $y=\lambda\left(x-x_{P}\right)+y_{p}$ where the slope is $\lambda=\frac{y_{Q}-y_{P}}{x_{Q}-x_{P}}$. Substituting it in the equation $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ for the curve, we obtain a third order equation for $x$ :

$$
x^{3}-\lambda^{2} x^{2}+\left(a+2 \lambda^{2} x_{P}-2 \lambda y_{P}\right) x+b-\lambda^{2} x_{P}^{2}+2 \lambda x_{P} y_{P}-y_{P}^{2}=0
$$

From the comparison with

$$
\left(x-x_{P}\right)\left(x-x_{Q}\right)\left(x-x_{P+Q}\right)=x^{3}-\left(x_{P}+x_{Q}+x_{P+Q}\right) x^{2}+\ldots x+\ldots=0
$$

we see that $x_{p}+x_{Q}+x_{P+Q}$ has to be equal to $\lambda^{2}$. For the addition of $P$ and $Q$ we obtain:

$$
x_{P+Q}=\lambda^{2}-x_{P}-x_{Q} \quad, \quad y_{P+Q}=\lambda\left(x_{P}-x_{P+Q}\right)-y_{P} \quad \text { where } \quad \lambda=\frac{y_{Q}-y_{P}}{x_{Q}-x_{P}}
$$

For the tangent line through $P\left(x_{P}, y_{P}\right)$ we also have the equation $y=\lambda\left(x-x_{P}\right)+y_{p}$ while now the slope is the derivative in $P: \lambda=\frac{3 x_{P}^{2}+a}{2 y_{P}}$. For the tangent line the point $Q$ is equal to the point $P$. For the doubling of $P$ we therefore obtain:

$$
x_{2 P}=\lambda^{2}-2 x_{P} \quad, \quad y_{2 P}=\lambda\left(x_{P}-x_{2 P}\right)-y_{P} \quad \text { where } \quad \lambda=\frac{3 x_{P}^{2}+a}{2 y_{P}}
$$

If $P$ and $Q$ have rational coordinates then $P+Q$ has rational coordinates and if $P$ has rational coordinates then $2 P$ has rational coordinates. So, starting with a rational point one can obtain a chain of other rational points.

For a point $R$ on the curve where $y=0$, a root, the tangent line is a vertical. As a consequence $2 R$ is a point at infinity, denoted as $\mathcal{O}$, thus $2 R=\mathcal{O}$. Since the elliptic curve is reflected in the $y$ axis to every point $P(x, y)$ corresponds a mirror point $-P(x,-y)$. In particular for a root $R$ there holds $R=-R$, which is the same as saying that $2 R=\mathcal{O}$. Finally, since $P+Q=Q+P$ the group of points on an elliptic curve is abelian.

### 3.5 Torsion

For a root $R$ of an elliptic curve we saw $2 R=\mathcal{O}$. The group $\{R, \mathcal{O}\}$ is a cyclic group of order 2. Cyclic groups on elliptic curves are called torsion groups. Elliptic curves always have two points of inflection. The two points of inflection form, together with $\mathcal{O}$, a torsion group of order 3. Differentiating twice the equation $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ gives $y y^{\prime \prime}=3 x-y^{\prime} y^{\prime}$. The inflection condition, $y^{\prime \prime}=0$, leads to $3 x=\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. Substitution of $y^{\prime}=\left(3 x^{2}+a\right) / 2 y$ gives $12 x y^{2}=\left(3 x^{2}+a\right)^{2}$. The substitution of $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ leads to $3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2}=0$. The four solutions of this equation are

$$
x=\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{6}\left(\sqrt{-2 a-\sqrt[3]{2 D}} \pm \sqrt{-4 a+\sqrt[3]{2 D} \pm \frac{6 \sqrt{6} b}{\sqrt{-2 a-\sqrt[3]{2 D}}}}\right)
$$

where $D=-4 a^{3}-27 b^{2}$. Since the solution already looks complicated we restrict ourselves to the case where $b=0$. Then the equation is reduced to $3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}-a^{2}=0$, which can be solved by hand:

$$
x= \pm \sqrt{-a \pm \frac{2}{3} a \sqrt{3}},
$$

To visualise the result we take $a=25$ and $a=-25$. For $a=25$ the equation of the curve is $y^{2}=x^{3}+25 x$ and has one real root $R_{0}=(0,0)$. The equation for the point of inflection, $3 x^{4}+150 x^{2}-625=0$, has four solutions. The only solution for which both the $x$ and $y$ coordinate are real (in the sense of not complex) is for $x=5 \sqrt{-1+\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3}}$. If we denote the starting point as $P$ then $P=\left(5 \sqrt{-1+\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3}}, \frac{5}{3} \sqrt{30} \sqrt{\sqrt{-3+2 \sqrt{3}}}\right)$. Numerically this is $P \approx(1.9666,7.5346)$.

For $a=-25$ the equation of the curve is $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ and has three real roots $R_{-}=(-5,0)$, $R_{0}=(0,0)$ and $R_{+}=(5,0)$. The equation for the point of inflection, $3 x^{4}-150 x^{2}-625=0$, has four solutions. The only solution for which both the $x$ and $y$ coordinate are real is $P=\left(5 \sqrt{1+\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3}}, \frac{5}{3} \sqrt{30} \sqrt{\sqrt{3+2 \sqrt{3}}}\right)$. Numerically this is $P \approx(7.3394,14.5558)$.

In the foregoing analysis we 'assumed' a point of inflection is part of a group of order 3 . If one wants to be sure there is no other group of order 3, one can apply the arithmetic of the previous section in a straightforward manner. For $a=25$ it goes as follows.
Start with a point $P$ and double it to $2 P: x_{2 P}=\left(\frac{3 x_{P}^{2}+25}{2 y_{P}}\right)^{2}-2 x_{P}$. If $P$ has order 3 , then $2 P=-P$ and since $x_{-P}=x_{P}$ we obtain the condition $x_{2 P}=x_{P}$. Hence

$$
\left(\frac{3 x_{P}^{2}+25}{2 y_{P}}\right)^{2}-2 x_{P}-x_{P}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\frac{3 x_{P}^{2}+25}{2 y_{P}}\right)^{2}-3 x_{P}=0
$$

The $y$ coordinate of $P$ is eliminated by substitution of the equation for the elliptic curve: $y_{P}^{2}=x_{P}^{3}+25 x_{P}$. The elimination of $y_{P}$ leads to the equation $3 x_{P}^{4}+150 x_{P}^{2}-625=0$ as
found above. It is a matter of inspection to identify the solution with an inflection point. For $y^{2}=x^{3}+25 x$ and $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ the curves and the inflection points are shown in respectively the left and right diagram of the next figure.


Hereafter we restrict to the situation with $a=25$ and $a=-25$. A cyclic group of order 4 is found as follows: take a line through a root point $R$ and tangent to the curve in a point $P$. This means that the slope of the tangent line has to equal the slope of the line through $R$ and $P$. For $a=25$ this means $\frac{3 x^{2}+25}{2 y}=\frac{y}{x}$. The latter can be elaborated to $3 x^{3}+25 x=2 y^{2}$. Substituting $y^{2}=x^{3}+25 x$ we obtain $x^{3}-25 x=0$, which factors in $x(x-5)(x+5)=0$. From the three solutions only $x=5$ is a valid $x$ coordinate for $P$. The corresponding $y$ coordinate is $5 \sqrt{10}$. For $a=-25$ a similar analysis leads to the condition $x^{3}-15 x^{2}+25 x+125=0$. There are two solutions. The first, which is on the 'egg' of the curve, is $(5(1-\sqrt{2}), 5 \sqrt{5}(2-\sqrt{2}))$. The second, which is on the rounded cusp, is $(5(1+\sqrt{2}),-5 \sqrt{5}(2+\sqrt{2}))$. Again, the solution could also have been obtained by equating $x_{3 P}$ with $x_{P}$ and $y_{3 P}$ with $-y_{P}$ and determine the geometrical structure afterwards. The results are shown in the next figure.


Notice that we could have started as well with $3 P$. We could not have started with $R_{+}$since $2 R_{+}=\mathcal{O}$. That is, $\left\{R_{+}, \mathcal{O}\right\}$ is a subgroup of $\{P, 2 P, 3 P, \mathcal{O}\}$, in the same way as $C_{2}$ is a subgroup of $C_{4}$.

For $a=25$ fivefold torsion leads to the equation $x^{8}+300 x^{6}-16250 x^{4}-812500 x^{2}+390625=0$ with the solution
$x_{P}=5 \sqrt{-3+2 \sqrt{5}-2 \sqrt{5-2 \sqrt{5}}}$ and $y_{P}=5 \sqrt{10} \sqrt{\sqrt{-133+62 \sqrt{5}+6 \sqrt{1025-458 \sqrt{5}}}}$.
Numerically it is $P \approx(8.55164,28.9685)$.
For $a=-25$ the equation is $x^{8}-300 x^{6}-16250 x^{4}+812500 x^{2}+390625=0$ with the solution $x_{P}=5 \sqrt{3+2 \sqrt{5}+2 \sqrt{5+2 \sqrt{5}}}$ and $y_{P}=5 \sqrt{10} \sqrt{\sqrt{133+62 \sqrt{5}+6 \sqrt{1025+458 \sqrt{5}}}}$.
Numerically it is $P \approx(18.4577,76.334)$. Since 5 is a prime, one can start any of the four points.


Sixfold torsion: for $a=25$ we obtain the equation $x^{4}-150 x^{2}-1875=0$ with the solution $x_{P}=5 \sqrt{3+2 \sqrt{3}}$ and $y_{P}=5 \sqrt{10} \sqrt{\sqrt{45+26 \sqrt{3}}}$. Numerically this is $P \approx(12.7123,48.705)$. The points $2 P$ and $4 P$ are points of inflection. For $a=-25$ we obtain three equations. For the first equation, $x^{4}-20 x^{3}-150 x^{2}-500 x+625=0$, the solution is $x_{P}=5(1+\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{3+2 \sqrt{3}})$ and $y_{P}=5 \sqrt{10} \sqrt{18+10 \sqrt{3}+\sqrt{627+362 \sqrt{3}}}$. Numerically this is $P \approx(26.3726,132.978)$. The group is shown in green in the right diagram of the next figure. For the second equation, $x^{4}+150 x^{2}-1875=0$, the solution is $x_{P}=-5 \sqrt{-3+2 \sqrt{3}}$ and $y_{P}=5 \sqrt{10} \sqrt{\sqrt{-45+26 \sqrt{3}}}$. Numerically this is $P \approx(-3.40625,6.75538)$. The group is shown in brown. For the third equation, $x^{4}+20 x^{3}-150 x^{2}+500 x+625=0$, the solution is $x_{P}=-5(1+\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{3+2 \sqrt{3}})$ and $y_{P}=5 \sqrt{10} \sqrt{-18-10 \sqrt{3}+\sqrt{627+362 \sqrt{3}}}$. Numerically this is $P \approx(-0.947955,4.77986)$. The group is shown in red, see next figure. In all three cases the points $2 P$ and $4 P$ are points of inflection. Notice that the group $\{3 P, \mathcal{O}\}$ and the group $\{2 P, 4 P, \mathcal{O}\}$ are subgroups of each sixfold torsion group (in the same way as $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ are subgroups of $C_{6}$ ).


Sevenfold torsion: we obtain the equation $7 X^{12} \pm 308 X^{11}-2954 X^{10} \mp 19852 X^{9}-35321 X^{8}$ $\mp 82264 X^{7}-111916 X^{6} \mp 42168 X^{5}+15673 X^{4} \pm 14756 X^{3}+1302 X^{2} \pm 196 X^{2}-1=0$, where $X=\left(\frac{1}{5} x\right)^{2}$. The upper and lower part of the plusminus symbols is for $a=25$ and $a=-25$ respectively. For $a=25$ the starting point is $P \approx(17.5386,76.3763)$. For $a=-25$ the starting point is $P \approx(35.7759,211.886)$. The results are shown in the next figure.


Eightfold torsion: for $a=25$ the equation is $x^{4}-20 x^{3}-50 x^{2}-500 x+625=0$.
A solution is $x_{P}=5(1+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2+2 \sqrt{2}})$ and $y_{P}=5 \sqrt{10} \sqrt{13+9 \sqrt{2}+2 \sqrt{82+58 \sqrt{2}}}$. Numerically this is $(23.0579,113.294)$. The result is drawn in the left diagram of the next figure. For $a=-25$ the equation is $x^{8}-40 x^{7}-300 x^{6}-1000 x^{5}+23750 x^{4}+25000 x^{3}-187500 x^{2}+$ $625000 x+390625=0$. There are two solutions. The first starts with $P=(46.6517,316.805)$ and is shown in green. The second starts with $P=(-0.535886,3.63913)$ and is shown in brown. All solutions have $\{4 P, \mathcal{O}\}$ as an order 2 subgroup and $\{2 P, 4 P, 6 P, \mathcal{O}\}$ as an order 4 subgroup (as $C_{2}$ and $C_{4}$ are subgroups of $C_{8}$ ). If you follow the tangent lines you will see interesting geometrical properties which are not a priori obvious.


Ninefold torsion: we obtain the equation $-3814697265625 \pm 407409667968750 X$ $+1666717529296875 X^{2} \pm 10463378906250000 X^{3}+14066674804687500 X^{4} \pm 9546767578125000 X^{5}$ $+3351823242187500 X^{6} \pm 1089921093750000 X^{7}+388437363281250 X^{8} \pm 86779382812500 X^{9}$ $+7773391406250 X^{10} \mp 277076250000 X^{11}-132156562500 X^{12} \mp 12528675000 X^{13}-170842500 X^{14}$ $\mp 15174000 X^{15}-284625 X^{16} \pm 1710 X^{17}+3 X^{18}=0$, where $X=\left(\frac{1}{5} x\right)^{2}$. The upper and lower part of the plusminus symbols is for $a=25$ and $a=-25$ respectively. For $a=25$ the starting point is $P \approx(29.2843,160.765)$. For $a=-25$ the starting point is $P \approx(58.9924,451.47)$. The solutions have $\{3 P, 6 P, \mathcal{O}\}$ as an order 3 subgroup (as $C_{3}$ is a subgroup of $C_{9}$ ). The results are shown in the next figure.


The geometry of the green solutions becomes evident by now. Just to illustrate a more interesting geometry a torsion group of order 12 for $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ is shown in the next figure. In this illustration as well as in the illustrations shown above there is no torsion point with both the $x$ and the $y$ coordinate rational. For rational points we should consider other elliptic curves.


### 3.6 Torsion lines

If we take the situation for sixfold torsion at hand we have six points: $P, 2 P, 3 P, 4 P, 5 P, \mathcal{O}$. For $y^{2}=x^{3}+25 x$ the line from $P$ to $4 P$ was tangent in $P$. Since $P$ is twofold for its tangent we can denote the line as $(1,1,4)$. That is, it hits twice $P$ and once $4 P$. The line which intersects $3 P, 2 P$ and $P$ is $(3,2,1)$. The line tangent to the root hits twice the root $3 P$ and once $\mathcal{O}$ : $(3,3,0)$. The vertical line through the inflection points is $(2,4,0)$. The line intersecting $5 P$, $4 P$ and $3 P$ is $(5,4,3)$. The tangent line in the point of inflection is threefold in $2 P:(2,2,2)$. The line tangent to $5 P$ is $(5,5,2)$. The line tangent to $5 P$ can also be regarded as starting in $5 P$, going to $2 P$ and returning in $5 P:(5,2,5)$. Alternatively, the order of the numbers do not matter. If we add the three numbers identifying a line we either obtain 0,6 or 12 . That is, for line $(a, b, c), c \equiv(12-a-b) \bmod 6$. For $n$-fold torsion a line is $(a, b,(2 n-a-b) \bmod n)$. This can be seen as follows. If we add the points $a P$ and $b P$ we obtain the point $(a+b) P$. So, the line through $a P$ and $b P$ goes through $-(a+b) P=(-a-b) P$. Since the points are $\bmod n$ we get for the line: $(a, b,(-a-b) \bmod n)$, which is identical to $(a, b,(2 n-a-b) \bmod n)$. We can now generate all the lines: run $a$ from 0 to $n-1$ and $b$ from 0 to $n-1$ and calculate $c=2 n-a-b \bmod n$. This leads to $n^{2}$ lines. However, the line $(a, b, c)$ is the same line as $(a, c, b)$. If $a, b$ and $c$ all three differ from each other we have 6 combinations for the same line. If two out of $a, b$ and $c$ are equal we have 3 combinations for the same line. This reduces the number of lines. Before we successively consider the situation for increasing order, we first will distinguish lines by their nature. The line $(a, b, c)$ with $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$ all three different from each other is a line intersecting the elliptic curve in three different points. We
will denote it as type $S$. The line $(0, b, c)$ with $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$ different from each other is a vertical line intersecting the elliptic curve in $b, c$ and $\mathcal{O}$. We will denote it as type $V$. The line ( $a, b, b$ ) with $a \neq 0$ and $b$ different from $a$ is a line tangent in $b$ and intersecting the elliptic curve in $a$. We will denote it as type $T$. The line $(0, b, b)$ with $b \neq 0$ is a vertical line tangent in a root $b$. We will denote it as type $R$. The line ( $a, a, a$ ) with $a \neq 0$ is a line tangent in a point of inflection $a$. We will denote it as type $I$. The line $(0,0,0)$ is a line through $\mathcal{O}$. We will denote it as type $O$. For order $n$ the number of lines will be denoted as $L(n)$. The number of lines of type $O, I, R, T, V, S$ will be denoted as $L_{O}(n), L_{I}(n), L_{R}(n), L_{T}(n), L_{V}(n), L_{S}(n)$. Their sum will be denoted as $L(n)$.

The results are tabulated.

| type | $n=1$ | $L_{\text {type }}(1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ |  | 0 |
| $R$ |  | 0 |
| $T$ |  | 0 |
| $V$ |  | 0 |
| $S$ |  | 0 |
| sum |  | 1 |


| type | $n=2$ | $L_{\text {type }}(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ |  | 0 |
| $R$ | $(0,1,1)$ | 1 |
| $T$ |  | 0 |
| $V$ |  | 0 |
| $S$ |  | 0 |
| sum |  | 2 |


| type | $n=3$ | $L_{\text {type }}(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ | $(1,1,1)(2,2,2)$ | 2 |
| $R$ |  | 0 |
| $T$ |  | 0 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,2)$ | 1 |
| $S$ |  | 0 |
| sum |  | 4 |


| type | $n=4$ | $L_{\text {type }}(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ |  | 0 |
| $R$ | $(0,2,2)$ | 1 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,2)(2,3,3)$ | 2 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,3)$ | 1 |
| $S$ |  | 0 |
| sum |  | 5 |


| type | $n=5$ | $L_{\text {type }}(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ |  | 0 |
| $R$ |  | 0 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,3)(3,3,4)(1,2,2)(2,4,4)$ | 4 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,4)(0,2,3)$ | 2 |
| $S$ |  | 0 |
| sum |  | 7 |

For instance, from the table for $n=4$ we read of that 2 is root, that a line tangent in 1 intersects de curve in the root 2 , that a line tangent in 3 intersects de curve in the root 2 , that there is a vertical line through 1 and 3 and that there is a vertical line tangent to 2 . This determines the geometry. In case of 3 roots one still has to find out for which root this is possible. One also has to find out if there is more than one possibility. Nevertheless, the tables can be of help for the understanding of the geometry of all the lines involved, in particular for increasing $n$.

| type | $n=6$ | $L_{\text {type }}(6)$ | type | $n=7$ | $L_{\text {type }}(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 | $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ | $(2,2,2)(4,4,4)$ | 2 | $I$ |  | 0 |
| $R$ | $(0,3,3)$ | 1 | $R$ |  | 0 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,4)(2,5,5)$ | 2 | $T$ | $(1,1,5)(2,2,3)(4,4,6)(1,3,3)(2,6,6)(4,5,5)$ | 6 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,5)(0,2,4)$ | 2 | $V$ | $(0,1,6)(0,2,5)(0,3,4)$ | 3 |
| $S$ | $(1,2,3)(3,4,5)$ | 2 | $S$ | $(1,2,4)(3,5,6)$ | 2 |
| sum |  | 10 | sum |  | 12 |


| type | $n=8$ | $L_{\text {type }}(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ |  | 0 |
| $R$ | $(0,4,4)$ | 1 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,6)(2,2,4)(5,5,6)(2,3,3)(2,7,7)(4,6,6)$ | 6 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,7)(0,2,6)(0,3,5)$ | 3 |
| $S$ | $(1,2,5)(1,3,4)(3,6,7)(4,5,7)$ | 4 |
| sum |  | 15 |


| type | $n=9$ | $L_{\text {type }}(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $I$ | $(3,3,3)(6,6,6)$ | 2 |
| $R$ |  | 0 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,7)(2,2,5)(5,5,8)(1,4,4)(2,8,8)(4,7,7)$ | 6 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,8)(0,2,7)(0,3,6)(0,4,5)$ | 4 |
| $S$ | $(1,2,6)(1,3,5)(2,3,4)(3,7,8)(4,6,8)(5,6,7)$ | 6 |
| sum |  | 19 |


| type | $n=10$ | $L_{\text {type }}(10)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| I |  | 0 |
| $R$ | $(0,5,5)$ | 1 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,8)(2,2,6)(3,3,4)(6,6,8)(2,4,4)(2,9,9)(4,8,8)(6,7,7)$ | 8 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,9)(0,2,8)(0,3,7)(0,4,6)$ | 4 |
| $S$ | $(1,2,7)(1,3,6)(1,4,5)(2,3,5)(3,8,9)(4,7,9)(5,6,9)(5,7,8)$ | 8 |
| sum |  | 22 |


| type | $n=11$ | $L_{\text {type }}(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| I |  | 0 |
| $R$ |  | 0 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,9)(2,2,7)(3,3,5)(6,6,10)(7,7,8)(1,5,5)(2,10,10)(3,4,4)(4,9,9)(6,8,8)$ | 10 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,10)(0,2,9)(0,3,8)(0,4,7)(0,5,6)$ | 5 |
| $S$ | $(1,2,8)(1,3,7)(1,4,6)(2,3,6)(2,4,5)(3,9,10)(4,8,10)(5,7,10)(5,8,9)(6,7,9)$ | 10 |
| sum |  | 26 |


| type | $n=12$ | $L_{\text {type }}(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| I | $(4,4,4)(8,8,8)$ | 2 |
| $R$ | $(0,6,6)$ | 1 |
| $T$ | $(1,1,10)(2,2,8)(3,3,6)(7,7,10)(2,5,5)(2,11,11)(4,10,10)(6,9,9)$ | 8 |
| $V$ | $(0,1,11)(0,2,10)(0,3,9)(0,4,8)(0,5,7)$ | 5 |
| $S$ | $(1,2,9)(1,3,8)(1,4,7)(1,5,6)(2,3,7)(2,4,6)(3,4,5)(3,10,11)(4,9,11)(5,8,11)$ <br> $(5,9,10)(6,7,11)(6,8,10)(7,8,9)$ | 14 |
| sum |  | 31 |

The number of lines of type $O, I, R$ and $T$ together will be denoted as $L_{T R I O}$. If we look at the sum of the number of lines of type $O, I, R$ and $T$, then $L_{T R I O}(n)=n$. The number of lines of type $V$ and $S$ together will be denoted as $L_{V S}$. If we compare $L_{V S}(n)$ with $L(n)$ then $L_{V S}(n)=L(n-3)$. Since $L_{V S}(n)=L(n)-L_{T R I O}(n)=L(n)-n$ we obtain $L(n)=$ $L(n-3)+n$. Starting with $n=4$ we have $L(4)=L(1)+4=1+4, L(7)=L(4)+7=1+4+7$, $L(10)=L(7)+10=1+4+7+10$ and so on. Hence, $L(n)=\frac{1}{6} n^{2}+\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{1}{3}$ if $n \equiv 1 \bmod 3$. Starting with $n=5$ we have $L(5)=L(2)+5=2+5, L(8)=L(5)+8=2+5+8$, $L(11)=L(8)+11=2+5+8+11$ and so on. Hence, $L(n)=\frac{1}{6} n^{2}+\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{1}{3}$ if $n \equiv 2$ $\bmod 3$. Starting with $n=6$ we have $L(6)=L(3)+6=4+6, L(9)=L(6)+9=4+6+9$, $L(12)=L(9)+12=4+6+9+12$ and so on. Hence, $L(n)=\frac{1}{6} n^{2}+\frac{1}{2} n+1$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod 3$.

### 3.7 Generating rational points

As an example of an elliptic curve with rational points we consider the curve given by $y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$. The single root $R(-3,0)$ is an integer torsion point of order 2 . Next to the root $R(-3,0)$ the curve has $P(-1,4),-P(-1,-4), S(8,-22)$ and $S(8,22)$ as integer points, where $S=R+P$. Starting with $P$ we can calculate $2 P$ with the 'doubling' formula. Thereafter we can calculate $3 P=2 P+P$. We can calculate $4 P$ either by regarding it as
$4 P=2 P+2 P$ and apply the doubling formula or by regarding it as $4 P=P+3 P$ and apply the addition formula, etc. Either way, we obtain $2 P=\left(\frac{33}{16},-\frac{207}{64}\right)$, for $3 P=\left(\frac{10847}{2401}, \frac{1062860}{117649}\right)$, $4 P=\left(-\frac{7363967}{2742336},-\frac{11182515137}{4541308416}\right)$, etc. Notice that the denominator of the $x$ coordinate is the square of a number while the denominator of the $y$ coordinate is the cube of that number. The rational point $P$ and some of its multiples are shown in the next figure.


From $S(8,-22)$ we obtain for $2 S$ the coordinates $\left(\frac{33}{16},-\frac{207}{64}\right)$, for $3 S\left(-\frac{664}{9025},-\frac{3015166}{857375}\right)$, for $4 S$ $\left(-\frac{7363967}{2742336},-\frac{11182515137}{4541308416}\right)$, etc. Since $S=R+P$ the points generated by $S$ are not independent of the points generated by $P$. It also follows that $2 S=2 R+2 P=\mathcal{O}+2 P=2 P$ and thus $4 S=4 P, 6 S=6 P$, etc. The points $S, P$ and their multiples are shown in the figure. For clarity, the mirror points $-P,-2 P, \ldots,-k P,-S,-2 S, \ldots,-k S, .$. are not shown.

The points on an elliptic curve form an abelian group, $E(\mathbb{R})$. The subgroup of rational points is denoted as $E(\mathbb{Q})$. The rank of an elliptic curve is the number of generators, 'starting points', needed to generate all the rational points. For instance, for the elliptic curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$ the rational points are generated (whether or not with the help of the torsion point $R(-3,0)$ ) by $P(-1,4)$. Since there are no other rational points (just take it for granted because rank determination is complicated), the rank is 1 . According to a theorem of Mordell the number of generators of rational points always is finite.

As another example we consider the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-15 x+22$. Next to the root $(2,0)$ the curve has $(-1,6),(-1,-6),(3,2)$ and $(3,-2)$ as integer points. If we denote $(-1,6)$ as $P$, then $2 P=(3,-2), 3 P=(2,0), 4 P=-2 P=(3,2), 5 P=-P=(-1,-6)$ and $6 P=\mathcal{O}$. The situation is shown in the next figure.


The order of $P$ is 6 : $P$ is cyclic with cycle length 6 . There is no rational point which generates an infinite number of rational points, so the rank is 0 .

In general, if $n P=\mathcal{O}$ then $n$ is the order of point $P$. For a point $P$ with order $n$ there holds $(n+1) P=P$. That is, the point $P$ is cyclic with cycle length $n$. A cyclic point $P$ is called a torsion point. An elliptic curve is denoted as $E$. The group of all points on the curve as $E(\mathbb{R})$. The group of torsion points $E_{\mathrm{TORS}}$ is a subgroup of $E(\mathbb{R})$.
The group of rational torsion points is called $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {TORS }}$. For the order $n$ of a rational torsion point there holds $n \leq 12$ and $n \neq 11$; a theorem of Mazur. Only the neutral point $\mathcal{O}$ has order 1. Roots, points on the $y=0$ axis, have order 2 . There are 3 root points (of which 2 may be complex). Together with the neutral point we have 4 points of order 2 . The points of inflection have order 3. The inflection equation, $y^{\prime \prime}=0$, is a fourth degree equation in $x$ with 4 (of which 3 complex) solutions for x . For every solution $x, y$ there also is a solution $x,-y$. So, we have 8 solutions. Together with the neutral point we have 9 points of order 3. In a similar way we have $n^{2}$ points (possibly complex) of order $n$. In general, torsion points are not rational. However, if the coefficients of the elliptic equation are integer, the torsion points also are integer. The group of torsion points $E_{\text {TORS }}$ is infinite. However, the group of rational torsion points $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {TORS }}$ is finite. The group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ of rational points is generated by a finite number of generators. Every element $Q$ of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ can be written as $Q=m_{1} P_{1}+m_{2} P_{2}+\ldots+m_{r} P_{r}+T$, where $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ are the generators, where $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $r$ is the rank and where $T \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {TORS }}$. The group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{r} \oplus E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {TORS }}$.

### 3.8 Rational points on $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$

The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ has $(-5,0),(0,0),(5,0),(-4,6),(-4,-6),(45,300)$ and $(45,-300)$ as integer points. The roots $(-5,0),(0,0)$ and $(5,0)$ are three points each with order 2. Earlier we found that $(-4,6)$ corresponds to the $\left(1 \frac{1}{2}, 6 \frac{2}{3}, 6 \frac{5}{6}\right)$ right triangle with area 5. Denoting $(-4,6)$ as $P$ we obtain $2 P=\left(11 \frac{97}{144},-36 \frac{71}{1728}\right)$. Earlier we found it corresponds to the $\left(3 \frac{43}{492}, 3 \frac{363}{1519}, 4 \frac{354769}{747348}\right)$ right triangle. For the present purpose we write it as $a=\frac{12519}{492}, b=\frac{4920}{1519}$ and $c=\frac{3344161}{492 \cdot 1519}$. Multiplication by 747348 leads to the Pythagorean triple: $\left(1519^{2}, 10 \cdot 492^{2}, 3344161\right)$. By means of $3 P=2 P+P$ we obtain $3 P=\left(-\frac{2439844}{5094049}, 3 \frac{5109762975}{11497265593}\right)$. From the correspondence $x=\frac{n b}{c-a}$ and $y= \pm \frac{2 n^{2}}{c-a}$ we obtain $a=\frac{x^{2}-n^{2}}{y}, b=\frac{2 n x}{y}$ and $c=\frac{x^{2}+n^{2}}{y}$. For the coordinates of $3 P$ it leads to a right triangle with rational sides $a=$ $\frac{25353117}{3525434}, b=\frac{35254340}{2535317}$ and $c=\frac{654686219104361}{8938074067778}$. Multiplication by $89380740677778=3525434 \cdot 25353117$ leads to the Pythagorean triple: $\left(25353117^{2}, 10 \cdot 3525434^{2}, 654686219104361\right)$. For $4 P$ we find the coordinates $\left(5 \frac{12832131841}{2234116132416}, \frac{1791076534232245919}{333932446657665536}\right)$. It corresponds to a right triangle with rational sides $a=\frac{535583225279}{4998504070056}, b=\frac{49985040700560}{535583225279}$ and $c=\frac{249850594047271558364480641}{2677114931410801046145624}$. Multiplication by $2677114931410801046145624=4998504070056 \cdot 535583225279$ leads to the Pythagorean triple: ( $535583225279^{2}, 10 \cdot 4998504070056^{2}, 249850594047271558364480641$ ).

| coordinates $(x, y)$ of $n P$ | Pythagorean triangle $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$ | generating $\left(k_{n}, m_{n}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{P}=-2^{2}, \quad y_{P}=2 \cdot 3$ | $A_{1}=3^{2}, \quad B_{1}=2^{3} \cdot 5, \quad C_{1}=41$ | $k_{1}=5, m_{1}=2^{2}$ |
| $x_{2 P}=\frac{41^{2}}{2^{4} \cdot 3^{2}}$ | $A_{2}=7^{4} \cdot 31^{2}, \quad B_{2}=2^{5} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 41^{2}$ | $k_{2}=41^{2}$ |
| $y_{2 P}=-\frac{7^{2} \cdot 31 \cdot 41}{2^{6} \cdot 3^{3}}$ | $C_{2}=3344161$ | $m_{2}=2^{4} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5$ |
| $x_{3 P}=-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{37^{2} \cdot 61^{2}}$ | $A_{3}=3^{4} \cdot 587^{2} \cdot 4799^{2}$ | $k_{3}=5 \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2}$ |
| $y_{3 P}=\frac{\alpha \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 587 \cdot 4799}{37^{3} \cdot 61^{3}}$ | $B_{3}=2^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ | $m_{3}=2^{2} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ |
| where $\alpha:=2 \cdot 11 \cdot 71$ | $C_{3}=41 \cdot 15967956563521$ |  |
| $x_{4 P}=\frac{\beta^{2}}{2^{6} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7^{4} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 41^{2}}$ | $A_{4}=113279^{2} \cdot 4728001^{2}$ | $k_{4}=3344161^{2}$ |
| $y_{4 P}=\frac{113279 \cdot \beta \cdot 4728001}{2^{9} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 7^{6} \cdot 31^{3} \cdot 41^{3}}$ | $B_{4}=2^{7} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{4} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 41^{2} \cdot \beta^{2}$ | $m_{4}=2^{6 \cdot 3} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{4} \cdot 31^{2} \cdot 41^{2}$ |
| where $\beta:=3344161$ | $C_{4}=545834881 \cdot 457740248460360961$ |  |

In the previous table coordinates for $n P$ and the integer sides of the corresponding Pythagorean triangle and its generating numbers $(k, m)\left(a=k^{2}-m^{2}, b=2 k m, c=k^{2}+m^{2}\right.$ remember $)$ are shown in factorised form. We see the generating $\left(k_{2 j}, m_{2 j}\right)$ for (2j) $P$ follows from the $\left(A_{j}, B_{j}, C_{j}\right)$ for $j P$ via $k_{2 j}=C_{j}^{2}$ and $m_{2 j}=2 A_{j} B_{j}$. In conclusion, we can construct an infinite number of Pythagorean triangles for which $A B / 2$ is 5 times a square.

Denoting the roots $(-5,0),(0,0)$ and $(5,0)$ respectively as $R_{-}, R_{0}$ and $R_{+}$and denoting $(45,-300)$ as $S$ we find $R_{-}+P=S$. Also here $2 P=2 S$, so only odd multiples of $S$ are new points. The first two of them are shown in the next table.

| coordinates $(x, y)$ of $n S$ | Pyth. triangle $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$ | generating $k_{n}, m_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{S}=3^{2} \cdot 5, \quad y_{S}=2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{2}$ | $B_{1}=3^{2}, A_{1}=2^{3} \cdot 5, C_{1}=41$ | $k_{1}=5, m_{1}=2^{2}$ |
| $x_{3 S}=\frac{\alpha^{2} \cdot 5}{4799^{2}}$ | $B_{3}=3^{4} \cdot 587^{2} \cdot 4799^{2}$ | $k_{3}=5 \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2}$ |
| $y_{3 S}=-\frac{\alpha \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 37 \cdot 71}{4799^{3}}$ | $A_{3}=2^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ | $m_{3}=2^{2} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ |
| where $\alpha:=3^{2} \cdot 587$ | $C_{3}=41 \cdot 15967956563521$ |  |

We see that changing from $(2 j+1) P$ to $(2 j+1) S$ is a matter of changing roles of $A_{2 j+1}$ and $B_{2 j+1}$. Not a surprise because this was the way we constructed $S$ from $P$ earlier.

Next we consider $V=R_{0}+P$. We find for $V$ the coordinates $\left(6 \frac{1}{4}, 9 \frac{3}{8}\right)$, from which we can find new points $3 V, 5 V$, etc. The first two are shown in the next table.

| coordinates $(x, y)$ of $n V$ | Pyth. triangle $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$ | generating $k_{n}, m_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{V}=\frac{5^{2}}{2^{2}}, \quad y_{V}=\frac{3 \cdot 5^{2}}{2^{3}}$ | $A_{1}=3^{2}, B_{1}=2^{3} \cdot 5, C_{1}=41$ | $k_{1}=5, m_{1}=2^{2}$ |
| $x_{3 V}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2^{2} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 71^{2}}$ | $A_{3}=3^{4} \cdot 587^{2} \cdot 4799^{2}$ | $k_{3}=5 \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2}$ |
| $y_{3 V}=\frac{\alpha \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 587 \cdot 4799}{2^{3} \cdot 11^{3} \cdot 71^{3}}$ | $B_{3}=2^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ | $m_{3}=2^{2} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ |
| where $\alpha:=5 \cdot 37 \cdot 61$ | $C_{3}=41 \cdot 15967956563521$ |  |

Finally we consider $W=R_{+}+P$. We find for $W$ the coordinates $\left(-\frac{5}{9},-3 \frac{19}{27}\right)$, from which we can find new points $3 W, 5 W$, etc. The first two are shown in the next table. The coordinates and the Pythagorean triangle sides of, for instance, $3 P$ are governed by the prime numbers $2,3,5,11,37,61,71,587,4799$. For the coordinates of $3 S, 3 V$ and $3 W$ some of these prime numbers are moved from denominator to numerator and vice versa in comparison with $3 P$.

| coordinates $(x, y)$ of $n W$ | Pyth. triangle $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$ | generating $k_{n}, m_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{W}=-\frac{5^{2}}{2^{2}}, \quad y_{W}=-\frac{3 \cdot 5^{2}}{2^{3}}$ | $A_{1}=3^{2}, B_{1}=2^{3} \cdot 5, C_{1}=41$ | $k_{1}=5, m_{1}=2^{2}$ |
| $x_{3 W}=-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{3^{4} \cdot 587^{2}}$ | $B_{3}=3^{4} \cdot 587^{2} \cdot 4799^{2}$ | $k_{3}=5 \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2}$ |
| $y_{3 W}=-\frac{\alpha \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 37 \cdot 61 \cdot 71}{3^{6} \cdot 587^{3}}$ | $A_{3}=2^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 37^{2} \cdot 61^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ | $m_{3}=2^{2} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 71^{2}$ |
| where $\alpha:=5 \cdot 4799$ | $C_{3}=41 \cdot 15967956563521$ |  |

From the addition of two points $P, S, V$ and $W$ we obtain new points:
$P+S=V+W=\left(-\frac{5 \cdot 31^{2}}{7^{4}}, \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 31 \cdot 41}{7^{6}}\right), P+W=S+V=\left(\frac{5 \cdot 7^{4}}{31^{2}}, \frac{2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 41}{31^{3}}\right)$, $P+V=S+W=\left(-\frac{2^{4} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2}}{41^{2}},-\frac{2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 31}{41^{3}}\right)$ and so on. Notice that $W$ is not an 'independent' point since $W=S+V-P$. The roots $R_{-}, R_{0}, R_{+}$are torsion points, each of order 2. Together with the rational point $P$ all the other rational points are generated. So, the rank is 1 .

One might wonder if there is a point, say $H$, such that its double is $P(-4,6)$. Using the doubling formula in reversed order we obtain fourth degree equations for the coordinates of $H$. The four solutions are complex: $(2+i,-1+7 i),(2-i,-1-7 i),(-10+5 i, 25+25 i)$ and $(-10-5 i, 25-25 i)$. Often $H$ is denoted as $\frac{1}{2} P$ for obvious reasons: $2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} P=P$. Application of the doubling formula in reversed order to $2 P=\left(11 \frac{97}{144},-36 \frac{71}{1728}\right)$ leads to the following four solutions: $(-4,6),(45,-300),\left(6 \frac{1}{4}, 9 \frac{3}{8}\right)$ and $\left(-\frac{5}{9},-3 \frac{19}{27}\right)$. That is, $P, S, V$ and $W$ as expected since $2 S=2 V=2 W=2 P$.

In the next figure a number of multiples of $P, S, V$ and $W$ are shown (the mirror points are left).


The positions of rational points $n P$ in the figure above are such that $n P$ is close to $(n+8) P$. A similar observation can be made for the points $n S, n V$ and $n W$. The reason for this is that the generator $(-4,6)$ of the rational points on the curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ is close to the non-rational torsion point $(-4.03198,5.93736)$ (see the brown $3 P$ in the eightfold torsion figure two sections earlier).

### 3.9 Modular counting on elliptic curves

As we did for a circle equation in the first section, we will apply modular counting on elliptic curves. As an example we will consider the curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ modulo a prime number. Alternatively, we consider $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ over the field $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ with $p$ prime. The group of integer points on an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is usually denoted as $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. The prime should not be 2 or 3 for reasons we will not go into. In addition we can only take prime numbers for which the curve does not become singular. A singularity occurs if the discriminant $D$ becomes 0 . The discriminant of an $n$-th degree equation is defined as the product of the squares of the distances between the roots times $a_{n}^{2 n-2}$, where $a_{n}$ is the leading coefficient, the coefficient of $x^{n}$. Thus

$$
D=a_{n}^{2 n-2} \prod_{i>j}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

For the quadratic equation $y=a x^{2}+b x+c$ the leading coefficient is $a$. Since the two roots are
$x_{1}=\frac{-b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}$ and $x_{1}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}$, the distance between them is $x_{2}-x_{1}=$ $\frac{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{a}$. Hence, $D=a^{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}=b^{2}-4 a c$.
For the cubic equation $y=x^{3}+a x+b$ the leading coefficient is 1 . If we denote the three roots as $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ then $D=1^{4}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)^{2}\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)^{2}$. The calculation of the roots of the cubic equation is standard in complex function theory. We just give the result: $x_{1}=\frac{a}{T}+\frac{T}{3}, x_{2}=\frac{1+i \sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{a}{T}-\frac{1-i \sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{T}{3}$ and $x_{2}=\frac{1-i \sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{a}{T}-\frac{1+i \sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{T}{3}$, where
$T=\sqrt[3]{\frac{-27 b+3 \sqrt{3} \sqrt{4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}}}{2}}$. From these expressions one obtains the following expression for the discriminant: $D=-\left(4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}\right)$.

If we consider an elliptic equation modulo a prime $p$, then the curve is singular if the discriminant is $0 \bmod p$. For example for $y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$ the discriminant is $D=$ $-\left(4 \cdot-5^{3}+27 \cdot 12^{3}\right)=-3388$. Modulo 7 we have $D=-3388 \bmod 7=0$. Since $3388=2^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 11^{2}$ the discriminant will also be 0 for $p=11: D \bmod 11)=0$. Therefore $p=7$ and $p=11$ are not allowed for modulo counting on the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$. With this in mind we consider modular counting on some elliptic curves.

### 3.10 Modular counting on $y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$

In this section we will consider the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$ modulo a prime number.
For instance, for $p=13$ the integer points on 'the curve' are $(10,0),(11,1),(4,2),(6,4)$, $(8,4),(12,4),(0,5),(2,6),(2,7),(0,8),(6,9),(8,9),(12,9),(4,11)$ and $(11,12)$. Together with $\mathcal{O}$ the group of 16 points is $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right)$. If we denote $(4,2)$ as $P$ the doubling formula gives $2 P=(6,9)$. The calculation is as follows. First the slope of the tangent line in $P$ :

$$
\lambda=\frac{3 x_{P}^{2}+a}{2 y_{P}}=\frac{3 \cdot 4^{2}-5}{2 \cdot 2}=\frac{43}{4} \equiv \frac{4}{4} \equiv 1 \bmod 13 .
$$

Having obtained the slope we proceed: $x_{2 P}=\lambda^{2}-2 x_{P}=1^{2}-2 \cdot 4=-7 \equiv 6 \bmod 13$ and $y_{2 P}=\lambda\left(x_{P}-x_{2 P}\right)-y_{P}=1(4-6)-2=-4 \equiv 9 \bmod 13$. Indeed $2 P=(6,9)$.

Since the tangent line through $P=(4,2)$ has slope 1 it arrives in integer point: $(6,4)$. The latter point is mirrored with respect to $y=6 \frac{1}{2}$, similar to the $y=0$ mirror for continuous curves. The final point is $2 P=(6,9)$. The doubling of $P$ is illustrated in the next figure.


Next we apply the addition formula to obtain $3 P$ :

$$
\lambda=\frac{y_{2 P}-y_{P}}{x_{2 P}-x_{P}}=\frac{9-2}{6-4}=\frac{7}{2}=2^{-1} \cdot 7 \equiv 7 \cdot 7 \equiv 49 \equiv 10 \bmod 13 .
$$

Notice that 7 is the inverse of 2 since $7 \cdot 2=14 \equiv 1 \bmod 13$. This makes clear that unique inverses require the modulo counting with a prime number. Having obtained the slope of the line connecting $P$ and $2 P$ we proceed: $x_{3 P}=\lambda^{2}-x_{P}-x_{2 P}=10^{2}-4-6=90 \equiv 12 \bmod 13$ and $y_{2 P}=\lambda\left(x_{P}-x_{3 P}\right)-y_{P}=10(4-12)-2=-82 \equiv 9 \bmod 13$. Hence $3 P=(12,9)$. Continuing the addition we obtain $4 P=(0,8), 5 P=(8,4), 6 P=(11,1), 7 P=(2,7)$, $8 P=(10,0), 9 P=(2,6), 10 P=(11,12), 11 P=(8,9), 12 P=(0,5), 13 P=(12,4)$, $14 P=(6,4), 15 P=(4,11)$ and $16 P=\mathcal{O}$. So, $P$ is of order 16. Since $1,3,5,7,9,11,13$ and 15 are relative prime to 16 (recall $\varphi(16)=8$ with $\varphi$ Euler's totient function), the 8 points $P, 3 P, 5 P, 7 P, 9 P, 11 P, 13 P, 15 P$ have order 16 . The point $2 P$ has order 8 , and since $1,3,5$ and 7 are relative prime to 8 , the 4 points $2 P, 6 P, 10 P, 14 P$ have order 8 . The point $4 P$ has order 4 , and since 1 and 3 are relative prime to 4 , the 2 points $4 P, 12 P$ have order 4 . The point $8 P$ has order 2 . The point $16 P$ has order $1,16 P=\mathcal{O}$ is the single element with order 1. The full group $P, 2 P, \ldots, 16 P$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group $C_{16}$. Subgroups are $C_{8}, C_{4}$, $C_{2}$ and $C_{1}$.

The line through $P$ and $2 P$ goes through $\left(7 \frac{1}{7}, 13\right)$ where it is wrapped to $\left(7 \frac{1}{7}, 0\right)$. From there it goes to $\left(10 \frac{6}{7}, 13\right)$ where it is wrapped to $\left(10 \frac{6}{7}, 0\right)$ after which it arrives at the integer
point $(12,4)$. The latter point is mirrored with respect to $y=6 \frac{1}{2}$ to $(12,9)$. So, $3 P=(12,9)$. The addition of $P+2 P=3 P$ is illustrated in the next figure.


Since $15 P=-P$ we see that going from $P$ to $-P$ is a matter of reflection of the $y$ coordinate with respect to the $y=6 \frac{1}{2}$ line. For an elliptic curve over the field $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ the points are mirrored in $y=p / 2$.

For the next prime, $p=17$, we find 13 integer points. Together with the neutral element $\mathcal{O}$ the points forms a group of order 14 : $P, 2 P, \ldots, 14 P=\mathcal{O}$. The subgroup $2 P, 4 P, \ldots, \mathcal{O}$ has order 7 , and the element $7 P$ has order 2 . The largest order of the elements is 14 . The full group $P, 2 P, \ldots, 14 P$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group $C_{14}$. Subgroups are $C_{7}, C_{2}$ and $C_{1}$.

For $p=19$ we obtain the following 17 points: $(16,0),(8,3),(7,4),(13,4),(18,4),(15,5)$, $(5,6),(14,8),(3,9),(3,10),(14,11),(5,13),(15,14),(7,15),(13,15),(18,15)$ and $(8,16)$. Together with $\mathcal{O}$ we have 18 elements; the order of the group is 18 . Denoting $(7,4)$ as $P$ we obtain $2 P=(3,10), 3 P=(16,0), 4 P=(3,9), 5 P=(7,15), 6 P=\mathcal{O}$. Denoting $(8,3)$ as $Q$, we obtain $2 Q=(8,16)$ and $3 Q=\mathcal{O}$. The other points now are $P+Q=(5,13)$, $2 P+Q=(13,4), 3 P+Q=(18,15), 4 P+Q=(14,8), 5 P+Q=(15,5), P+2 Q=(15,14)$, $2 P+2 Q=(14,11), 3 P+2 Q=(18,4), 4 P+2 Q=(13,15), 5 P+2 Q=(5,6)$. The group structure is $C_{6} \times C_{3}$. The largest order of the elements of the group is 6 .

The number of integer points $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ including $\mathcal{O}$ is the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. The order of each element of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is a divisor of the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. For a different elliptic curve such as $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ we obtain 20 for the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right), E\left(\mathbb{F}_{17}\right)$ and $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{19}\right)$. They are not far away from the corresponding values for the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$. They also are not far away from the prime $p$. That this is even more so for larger primes is illustrated in the next figure where the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ for the elliptic curves $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$ (green dots) and $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ (red dots) is plotted against $p$ for $p<1000$.


### 3.11 Modular counting on $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$

In this section we will consider the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ modulo a prime number.
For $p=7$ there are 8 points (group structure $C_{4} \times C_{2}$ ). For $p=11$ there are 12 points. They are generated by two elements, one of order 6 and one of order $2\left(C_{6} \times C_{2}\right)$. For $p=13,17$ and 19 there are 20 points. In all three cases the group structure is $C_{10} \times C_{2}$. For $p=13$, 17 and 19 the corresponding points (except $\mathcal{O}$ ) are shown as respectively red, green and blue dots in the next figure.


A common point for red and blue is $(10,3)$. Common points for all three colours are the roots $(0,0)$ and $(5,0)$. For the third root, $(-5,0)$, we find different values for different $p$ : $(-5,0) \equiv(8,0) \bmod 13),(-5,0) \equiv(12,0) \bmod 17)$ and $(-5,0) \equiv(14,0) \bmod 19)$. The number of points with $y=0$ is either 0 (if there is no integer root), 1 (in case of one integer root) or 3 (in case of three integer roots). For a group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ the sum of the $y$ coordinates of points with the same $x$ coordinate is $p$, because of the reflection with respect to the horizontal line $y=p / 2$. If there are three points with the same $y$ coordinate, the sum of the $x$ coordinates is $p$ or $2 p$.

For $p=13$ (red points) there are eight $x$ values with 2 points. We will denote it as $X_{2}=8$. For $p=13$ there are two $x$ values with no points. We will denote it as $X_{0}=2$. In general we will denote the number of $x$ values with $k$ points as $X_{k}$ and the number of $y$ values with $k$ points as $Y_{k}$. For $p=13,17$ and 19 the $X_{k}$ and $Y_{k}$ values are tabulated

| $p$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $Y_{1}$ | $Y_{2}$ | $Y_{3}$ | $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 20 |
| 17 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| 19 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 20 |

Taking $\mathcal{O}$ into account there holds $X_{1}+2 X_{2}+1=Y_{1}+2 Y_{2}+3 Y_{3}+1=\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. The $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ are not shown in the table since $X_{0}=p-X_{1}-X_{2}$ and $Y_{0}=p-Y_{1}-Y_{2}-Y_{3}$.

For $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ the largest order of the elements of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right), E\left(\mathbb{F}_{17}\right)$ and $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{19}\right)$ is 10 , while the order of these three groups is 20 . In all three cases the largest order of the elements is half the order of the group. In the next figure the largest order of the elements of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is plotted against $p, p<1000$, for the elliptic curves $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$ (green dots) and $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ (red dots). We see that for the curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$ the largest order of the elements of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is in most cases as large as the order of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, while for the curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ the largest order of the elements of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is at most half the order of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$.


### 3.12 A ratio in $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$

Let us define $\mu$ as the following ratio: $\mu(p ; E)=\frac{\text { order of } E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)}{\text { largest order of the elements of } E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)}$.
The set of different $\mu$ values depends on the elliptic curve and on $p$. For $p<1000$ (and $p$ not a divisor of the discriminant) the ratio $\mu$ takes on the values:
$1,2,3,4,5,6$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$,
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,12,16$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-15 x+22$,
$1,2,3,4,6$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x+18$,
$1,2,4,5,6,7,10,12,14,23,24$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x$,
$1,2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,24,28$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+8$,
$1,2,4,6$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+3 x-4$,
$1,2,3,4,6$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+3 x+4$,
$2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-x$,
$2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-16 x$,
$2,4,6,8,10,12,14,20,26$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$,
$2,4,6,8,10,12,14,22,24$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-36 x$.

The set of $\mu^{\prime}$ 's for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-x$ and the set of $\mu$ 's for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-16 x$ are identical. In general the sets for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-t^{4} x$ are the same for any $t$. Moreover, for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-t^{4} x$ the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ as well as the largest order of the elements of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ only depend on $p$ and not on $t$. In fact, for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-t^{4} x$ the group structures of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ only depend on $p$. This can be understood as follows. If we start with $y^{2}=x^{3}-x$ and perform the linear transformation $y^{\prime}=\frac{y}{t^{3}}, x^{\prime}=\frac{x}{t^{3}}$ we obtain $y^{\prime 2}=x^{3}-t^{4} x^{\prime}$. Since the group structure is not changed by a linear transformation, the group for $E: y^{\prime 2}=x^{3}-t^{4} x^{\prime}$ is identical to the one for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-x$. In general, the group for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+a t^{4} x+b t^{6}$ is identical to the one for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$.

For $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-x$ the order of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ against $p$ is shown by the red dots in the next figure and the largest order of the elements of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ against $p$ is shown by the green dots in the next figure.


## Chapter 4

## Modular elliptic curves

### 4.1 Modular counting on $y^{2}=x^{3}+7$

For elliptic curves with integer coefficients the integer torsion points can be systematically found by means of Nagel-Lutz theorem: if an elliptic curve with integer coefficients contains a torsion point the $y$ coordinate of the point is either 0 or its square is a divisor of the discriminant: $y^{2} \mid D$. The reverse does not have to be true: if $(x, y)$ is an integer point such that $y^{2} \mid D$ it is not necessarily a torsion point. There also are integer points which are part of an infinite series of rational points generated by a generator. For each $y$ satisfying $y^{2} \mid D$ one has to test if it belongs to a finite cyclic group or an infinite group.

For example, for $y^{2}=x^{3}-25 x$ we have for $y=0$ the integer roots $x=-5, x=0$ and $x=5$; $(-5,0),(0,0)$ and $(5,0)$ are torsion points of order 2 . The discriminant is $D=-\left(4 \cdot-25^{3}\right)=$ 62500. The possible values for $y^{2}$ such that $y^{2} \mid D$ are $y^{2}=1,5^{2}, 5^{4}, 5^{6}, 2^{2} \cdot 5^{2}, 2^{2} \cdot 5^{4}, 2^{2} \cdot 5^{6}$,. Since each of these values for $y$ do not correspond to an integer value for $x$ there are no further torsion points (except for the trivial $\mathcal{O}$ ). Integer points $(-4,6)$ and $(45,300)$ are part of an infinite series of rational points.
As another example, for $y^{2}=x^{3}-5 x+12$ we have for $y=0$ the integer root $x=-3 ;(-3,0)$ is a torsion point of order 2 . The discriminant is $D=-\left(4 \cdot-5^{3}+27 \cdot 12^{2}\right)=-3388$. The only possible values for $y^{2}$ such that $y^{2} \mid D$ are $y^{2}=1,2^{2}, 11^{2}, 2^{2} \cdot 11^{2}$. Only $y^{2}=22^{2}$ does correspond to an integer value for $x$, namely $x=8$. However, the doubling of $(8,22)$ does lead to a non-integer rational point. Therefore is $(8,22)$ not a torsion point.
As a third example, for $y^{2}=x^{3}-15 x+22$ we have for $y=0$ the integer root $x=2 ;(2,0)$ is a torsion point of order 2 . The discriminant is $D=-\left(4 \cdot-15^{3}+27 \cdot 22^{2}\right)=432=2^{4} \cdot 3^{3}$. The possible values for $y^{2}$ such that $y^{2} \mid D$ are $y^{2}=1,2^{2}, 2^{4}, 3^{2}, 3^{2} \cdot 2^{2}, 3^{2} \cdot 2^{4}$. This leads to the following integer points: $(3,2),(3,-2),(-1,6),(-1,-6)$. Starting with $P=(-1,6)$ we obtain $2 P=(3,-2), 3 P=(2,0), 4 P=-2 P=(3,2), 5 P=-P=(-1,-6)$ and $6 P=\mathcal{O}$. Since the points are part of a finite cyclic group of integer points they are torsion points.

Now we consider the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$, which is used in the bitcoin blockchain. As for all curves of the type $y^{2}=x^{3}+b$ it has the property that $y^{\prime}=0$ and $y^{\prime \prime}=0$ for $x=0$. For $x=0$ we have $y(0)=\sqrt{7}$ which is not rational. The single root is for $x=\sqrt[3]{-7}$ which is not rational. The discriminant is $D=-1323=-3^{3} \cdot 7^{2}$. The $y^{2} \mid D$ are $y^{2}=1,3,7,21$. None of these $y$ values leads to an integer point. This means there are no torsion points (except for the trivial $\mathcal{O}$ ). In fact, there are no rational points at all; the rank is 0 . The curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$ is shown in the next figure.


Integer points come into existence if we apply modulo counting on $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$. For the prime we can not take $p=7$ because it would make the curve singular. For $p=5$ we obtain $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{5}\right)=\{(4,1),(3,3),(2,0),(3,2),(4,4), \mathcal{O}\}$ with order 6 . Denoting $(4,1)$ as $P$ the successive elements are $P, 2 P, 3 P, 4 P, 5 P, 6 P$. The group is $C_{6}$. There are two points of order 6 , two of order 3 , one of order 2 and one of order 1 . The largest order of the elements is 6 . Therefore, $\mu(5)=1$. For $p=11$ the group is $C_{12}$. So, the largest order of the elements is 12 and $\mu(11)=1$. For $p=13$ the group is $C_{7}$ and $\mu(13)=1$. For $p=17$ the group is $C_{18}$ and $\mu(17)=1$. For $p=19$ the group is $C_{6} \times C_{2}$ and $\mu(19)=2$. For $p=23$ the group is $C_{24}$ and $\mu(23)=1$. For $p=29$ the group is $C_{30}$ and $\mu(29)=1$. For $p=31$ the group is $C_{21}$ and $\mu(31)=1$. For $p=37$ the group is $C_{39}$ and $\mu(37)=1$. For $p=41$ the group is $C_{42}$ and $\mu(41)=1$. The second time where $\mu>1$ is for $p=73$. Then the group is $C_{8} \times C_{8}$ and $\mu=8$. We see the values of $p$ for which $\mu \neq 1$ are sparse. In the next figure the order of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ (red dots) and the largest order of the elements of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ (green dots) are plotted against $p$ for $p<1000$.


The order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is in approximately half the cases equal to $p+1$. For these cases the largest order of the elements of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ equals the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, see the green dots on top of the red dots. For the other cases the largest order of the elements of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ sometimes does not equal the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) ; \mu \neq 1$. For $p<1000$ the ratio $\mu$ takes on the values $1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,22,25$ or 27 . For $p=5,11$ and 13 the points of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ are shown as respectively red, green and blue dots in the next figure.


The red and green points have $(4,4)$ in common and the green and blue points have $(7,8)$ in common. For $p=5$ there are 3 different $x$ and 5 different $y$ coordinates, 1 point for each $y$ value. For $p=11$ there are 6 different $x$ and 11 different $y$ coordinates, 1 point for each $y$ value. For $p=13$ there are 3 different $x$ and 2 different $y$ coordinates, 3 points for each occupied $y$ value.

For $p=17,19$ and 23 the points are shown as respectively red, green and blue dots in the next figure.


Also for $p=17,19$ and 23 we see $x$ values with 0,1 or 2 points and $y$ values with 0,1 or 3 points. From inspection it is found for any $p<1000$ that for every $0 \leq x \leq p-1$ there are 0,1 or 2 points and for every $0 \leq y \leq p-1$ there are 0,1 or 3 points. For $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$ somehow $y$ values with 2 points do not occur for $p<1000$. As in the previous chapter we denote the number of $x$ values with $k$ points as $X_{k}$ and the number of $y$ values with $k$ points as $Y_{k}$. The order of the group now is: $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=Y_{1}+3 Y_{3}+1$ or $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=X_{1}+2 X_{2}+1$. The addition with 1 is to account for the neutral element $\mathcal{O}$. In the next table we have tabulated for each prime $p$ (first column) the value of $X_{1}$ (second column), $X_{2}$ (third column), $Y_{1}$ (fourth column), $Y_{3}$ (fifth column), the order of the group $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ (sixth column) and $\mu(p)$ (seventh column). The table is for $p<200$ and $E ; y^{2}=x^{3}+7$.

| $p$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $Y_{1}$ | $Y_{3}$ | $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ | $\mu(p)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| 17 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
| 19 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 2 |
| 23 | 1 | 11 | 23 | 0 | 24 | 1 |
| 29 | 1 | 14 | 29 | 0 | 30 | 1 |
| 31 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 21 | 1 |
| 37 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 12 | 39 | 1 |
| 41 | 1 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 42 | 1 |
| 43 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 31 | 1 |
| 47 | 1 | 23 | 47 | 0 | 48 | 1 |
| 53 | 1 | 26 | 53 | 0 | 54 | 1 |
| 59 | 1 | 29 | 59 | 0 | 60 | 1 |
| 61 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 61 | 1 |
| 67 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 26 | 79 | 1 |
| 71 | 1 | 35 | 71 | 0 | 72 | 1 |
| 73 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 21 | 64 | 8 |
| 79 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 22 | 67 | 1 |
| 83 | 1 | 41 | 83 | 0 | 84 | 1 |
| 89 | 1 | 44 | 89 | 0 | 90 | 1 |
| 97 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 26 | 79 | 1 |
| 101 | 1 | 50 | 101 | 0 | 102 | 1 |
| 103 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 36 | 111 | 1 |
| 107 | 1 | 53 | 107 | 0 | 108 | 1 |
| 109 | 0 | 64 | 2 | 42 | 129 | 1 |
| 113 | 1 | 56 | 113 | 0 | 114 | 1 |
| 127 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 42 | 127 | 1 |
| 131 | 1 | 65 | 131 | 0 | 132 | 1 |
| 137 | 1 | 68 | 137 | 0 | 138 | 1 |
| 139 | 0 | 73 | 2 | 48 | 147 | 1 |
| 149 | 1 | 74 | 149 | 0 | 150 | 1 |
| 151 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 44 | 133 | 1 |
| 157 | 3 | 84 | 0 | 57 | 172 | 2 |
| 163 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 46 | 139 | 1 |
| 167 | 1 | 83 | 167 | 0 | 168 | 1 |
| 173 | 1 | 86 | 173 | 0 | 174 | 1 |
| 179 | 1 | 89 | 179 | 0 | 180 | 1 |
| 181 | 3 | 102 | 0 | 69 | 208 | 4 |
| 191 | 1 | 95 | 191 | 0 | 192 | 1 |
| 193 | 0 | 109 | 2 | 72 | 219 | 1 |
| 197 | 1 | 98 | 197 | 0 | 198 | 1 |
| 199 | 0 | 94 | 2 | 62 | 189 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For the primes in the table a pattern can be recognized: $X_{1}=0, X_{1}=1$ or $X_{1}=3, Y_{1}=0$, $Y_{1}=2$ or $Y_{1}>2$ and $Y_{3}=0$ or $Y_{3}>0$. For $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ we have the following categories:

1. $X_{1}=1$ and $Y_{3}=0$.
2. $X_{1}=3$ and $Y_{1}=2$.
3. $X_{1}=3$ and $Y_{1}=0$.
4. $X_{1}=0$ and $Y_{1}=2$.
5. $X_{1}=0$ and $Y_{1}=0$.

### 4.2 Categories for $y^{2}=x^{3}+b \bmod p$

For every $b$ (integer of course) the elliptic curves $E: y^{2} \equiv x^{3}+b \bmod p$ can be divided in the same 5 categories as $E: y^{2} \equiv x^{3}+7 \bmod p$. It should be noted that in general for $E: y^{2} \equiv x^{3}+a x+b \bmod p$ with $a \neq 0$ also categories do occur with $Y_{2} \neq 0$. In the table below the category, the order $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and the ratio $\mu(p)$ are given for $b=1,2, \ldots, 8$ and $p=5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37$.

| $p$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $1,6,1$ | $1,6,1$ | $1,6,1$ | $1,6,1$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $2,12,2$ | $4,9,3$ | $5,13,1$ | $4,3,1$ | $5,7,1$ | $3,4,2$ |  |  |
| 11 | $1,12,1$ | $1,12,1$ | $1,12,1$ | $1,12,1$ | $1,12,1$ | $1,12,1$ | $1,12,1$ | $1,12,1$ |
| 13 | $2,12,2$ | $5,19,1$ | $4,9,3$ | $4,21,1$ | $3,16,4$ | $5,7,1$ | $5,7,1$ | $3,16,4$ |
| 17 | $1,18,1$ | $1,18,1$ | $1,18,1$ | $1,18,1$ | $1,18,1$ | $1,18,1$ | $1,18,1$ | $1,18,1$ |
| 19 | $2,12,2$ | $5,13,1$ | $5,13,1$ | $4,21,1$ | $4,27,3$ | $4,21,1$ | $2,12,2$ | $3,28,2$ |
| 23 | $1,24,1$ | $1,24,1$ | $1,24,1$ | $1,24,1$ | $1,24,1$ | $1,24,1$ | $1,24,1$ | $1,24,1$ |
| 29 | $1,30,1$ | $1,30,1$ | $1,30,1$ | $1,30,1$ | $1,30,1$ | $1,30,1$ | $1,30,1$ | $1,30,1$ |
| 31 | $2,36,6$ | $2,36,6$ | $5,43,1$ | $2,36,6$ | $4,39,1$ | $5,43,1$ | $4,21,1$ | $2,36,6$ |
| 37 | $2,48,4$ | $5,49,1$ | $4,39,1$ | $4,39,1$ | $5,37,1$ | $3,28,2$ | $4,39,1$ | $3,28,2$ |

For instance, for $p=19$ and $b=8$ we read of the numbers $3,28,2$. This means that the points of $E: y^{2} \equiv x^{3}+8 \bmod 19$ are in category 3 , that $\# E_{8}\left(\mathbb{F}_{19}\right)=28$ and that $\mu_{8}(19)=2$. For $b \equiv 0 \bmod p$ the curve is singular and for $b>p$ the numbers can be read of at the column for $b \bmod p$. This is the reason why for $p=5$ and $p=7$ the cells are left empty for $b \geq 5$ respectively $b \geq 7$.
4.2. CATEGORIES FOR $Y^{2}=X^{3}+B$ MOD $P$

We see that for primes of the type $p \equiv 5 \bmod 6$ the category is $1, \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=p+1$ and $\mu=1$. To save space we will confine to primes of the type $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$, see the next table.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 2,12,2 | 4,9,3 | 5,13,1 | 4,3,1 | 5,7,1 | 3,4,2 |  |  |
| 13 | 2,12,2 | 5,19,1 | 4,9,3 | 4,21,1 | 3,16,4 | 5,7,1 | 5,7,1 | 3,16,4 |
| 19 | 2,12,2 | 5,13,1 | 5,13,1 | 4,21,1 | 4,27,3 | 4,21,1 | 2,12,2 | 3,28,2 |
| 31 | 2,36,6 | 2,36,6 | 5,43,1 | 2,36,6 | 4,39,1 | 5,43,1 | 4,21,1 | 2,36,6 |
| 37 | 2,48,4 | 5,49,1 | 4,39,1 | 4,39,1 | 5,37,1 | 3,28,2 | 4,39,1 | 3,28,2 |
| 43 | 2,36,6 | 3,52,2 | 5,49,7 | 2,36,6 | 5,49,7 | 4,39,1 | 5,31,1 | 3,52,2 |
| 61 | 2,48,4 | 5,61,1 | 2,48,4 | 4,75,5 | 4,63,3 | 5,61,1 | 5,61,1 | 3,76,2 |
| 67 | 2,84,2 | 5,73,1 | 3,52,2 | 4,57,1 | 3,52,2 | 4,63,3 | 5,79,1 | 3,52,2 |
| 73 | 2,84,2 | 4,81,9 | 2,84,2 | 4,57,1 | 5,91,1 | 4,81,9 | 3,64,8 | 2,84,2 |
| 79 | 2,84,2 | 4,63,3 | 5,97,1 | 4,93,1 | 4,93,1 | 5,67,1 | 5,67,1 | 2,84,2 |
| 97 | 2,84,2 | 4,117,3 | 4,117,3 | 4,93,1 | 5,79,1 | 4,93,1 | 5,79,1 | 2,84,2 |
| 103 | 2,84,2 | 4,117,3 | 3,124,2 | 4,111,1 | 5,97,1 | 5,91,1 | 4,111,1 | 2,84,2 |
| 109 | 2,108,6 | 3,112,4 | 4,129,1 | 2,108,6 | 4,129,1 | 5,91,1 | 4,129,1 | 3,112,4 |
| 127 | 2,108,6 | 2,108,6 | 5,127,1 | 2,108,6 | 3,148,2 | 5,127,1 | 5,127,1 | 2,108,6 |
| 139 | 2,156,2 | 5,163,1 | 5,133,1 | 4,147,1 | 4,147,1 | 2,156,2 | 4,147,1 | 3,124,2 |
| 151 | 2,156,2 | 4,171,3 | 3,148,2 | 4,129,1 | 4,171,3 | 5,133,1 | 5,133,1 | 2,156,2 |
| 157 | 2,144,12 | 3,172,2 | 4,183,1 | 2,144,12 | 5,133,1 | 5,133,1 | 3,172,2 | 3,172,2 |
| 163 | 2,156,2 | 5,139,1 | 5,181,1 | 4,147,1 | 3,172,2 | 2,156,2 | 5,139,1 | 3,172,2 |
| 181 | 2,156,2 | 5,175,5 | 4,201,1 | 4,201,1 | 2,156,2 | 3,208,4 | 3,208,4 | 3,208,4 |
| 193 | 2,192,8 | 4,171,3 | 2,192,8 | 4,219,1 | 5,217,1 | 4,171,3 | 4,219,1 | 2,192,8 |
| 199 | 2,228,2 | 4,189,3 | 5,211,1 | 4,183,1 | 2,228,2 | 5,217,1 | 4,189,3 | 2,228,2 |
| 211 | 2,228,2 | 5,199,1 | 5,199,1 | 4,183,1 | 2,228,2 | 4,183,1 | 5,199,1 | 3,196,14 |
| 223 | 2,252,6 | 2,252,6 | 5,247,1 | 2,252,6 | 5,229,1 | 5,247,1 | 2,252,6 | 2,252,6 |
| 229 | 2,252,6 | 3,208,4 | 4,237,1 | 2,252,6 | 4,201,1 | 5,223,1 | 5,259,1 | 3,208,4 |
| 241 | 2,228,2 | 4,225,15 | 4,273,1 | 4,273,1 | 2,228,2 | 2,228,2 | 5,259,1 | 2,228,2 |
| 271 | 2,300,10 | 4,243,9 | 3,244,2 | 4,273,1 | 4,273,1 | 5,301,1 | 4,243,9 | 2,300,10 |
| 277 | 2,252,6 | 3,304,4 | 4,309,1 | 2,252,6 | 5,283,1 | 5,247,1 | 4,273,1 | 3,304,4 |
| 283 | 2,252,6 | 3,316,2 | 5,277,1 | 2,252,6 | 5,259,1 | 4,291,1 | 4,309,1 | 3,316,2 |
| 307 | 2,324,18 | 3,292,2 | 3,292,2 | 2,324,18 | 5,343,1 | 2,324,18 | 4,327,1 | 3,292,2 |
| 313 | 2,336,4 | 4,279,3 | 4,327,1 | 4,327,1 | 3,292,2 | 2,336,4 | 3,292,2 | 2,336,4 |
| 331 | 2,300,10 | 5,331,1 | 5,331,1 | 4,363,11 | 4,363,11 | 4,363,11 | 3,364,2 | 3,364,2 |
| 337 | 2,372,2 | 4,333,3 | 4,309,1 | 4,309,1 | 3,304,4 | 2,372,2 | 2,372,2 | 2,372,2 |
| 349 | 2,336,4 | 5,313,1 | 4,327,1 | 4,327,1 | 4,327,1 | 3,364,2 | 5,313,1 | 3,364,2 |
| 349 | 2,336,4 | 5,313,1 | 4,327,1 | 4,327,1 | 4,327,1 | 3,364,2 | 5,313,1 | 3,364,2 |
| 367 | 2,372,2 | 4,333,3 | 3,364,2 | 4,399,1 | 3,364,2 | 5,403,1 | 2,372,2 | 2,372,2 |
| 373 | 2,336,4 | 5,361,1 | 4,387,3 | 4,399,1 | 5,361,1 | 5,349,1 | 2,336,4 | 3,412,2 |
| 379 | 2,372,2 | 5,409,1 | 5,343,7 | 4,417,1 | 2,372,2 | 2,372,2 | 5,343,7 | 3,388,2 |

Of course the table is just a small part of what is intended to show. To the right the rows should be thought to run through $b=p-1$. An order may occur more than once. For example $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=273$ for different $p \in\{229,241,271,277\}$ and $b \in\{3,4,5,7\}$. However, it is always accompanied with the same category and the same $\mu$. This suggests that $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ uniquely determines the category and $\mu$.

By inspection of the tables we make the following observation: $\mu$ is a divisor of $p-1$. Since $\mu$ is a divisor of $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, it also is a divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p-1, \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right)$. This limits the values $\mu$ can possibly take on. If we apply it to, for instance, $p=223$, then $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=252$ for $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(222,252)=6$ the value of $\mu$ is $1,2,3$ or 6 . For this case $\mu=6$.

### 4.3 Characteristics of categories

Category 1: $X_{1}=1$ and $Y_{3}=0$.
Characteristics category 1: $\left.p \equiv 5 \bmod 6), \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=p+1, \mu=1, X_{2} \equiv 2 \bmod 3\right)$ and $Y_{1} \equiv 5 \bmod 6$. The set of all $y$ values is $\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $\infty$ (for point $\mathcal{O}$ ). Since $\mu=1$ the points on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ are cyclic of order $p+1$. Elliptic curves for which $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=p+1$ are called supersingular (although it has nothing to do with a singularity). So, category 1 is the supersingular category.

In general, the order of a modular elliptic curve can be written as $a$ : $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=p+1-d$. According to a theorem of Hasse $|d| \leq 2 \sqrt{p}$. Thus $d=0$ for category 1. For $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+b$ the cases with $d \neq 0$ occur for $p=1 \bmod 6$. This is the situation for categories 2 through 5 .

Category 2: $X_{1}=3$ and $Y_{1}=2$.
Characteristics category 2: $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6, \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 12, \mu \equiv 0 \bmod 2, X_{2} \equiv 4$ $\bmod 6$ and $Y_{3} \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.

Category 3: $X_{1}=3$ and $Y_{1}=0$.
Characteristics category 3: $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6, \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \equiv 4 \bmod 12, \mu=0 \bmod 2, X_{2} \equiv 0$ $\bmod 6$ and $Y_{3} \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.

Category 4: $X_{1}=0$ and $Y_{1}=2$.
Characteristics category 4: $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6, \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \equiv 3 \bmod 6, \mu=1 \bmod 2, X_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ and $Y_{3} \equiv 2 \bmod 6$.

Category 5: $X_{1}=0$ and $Y_{1}=0$.
Characteristics category 5: $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6, \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \equiv 1 \bmod 6, \mu=1 \bmod 2, X_{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ and $Y_{3} \equiv 0 \bmod 2$.

### 4.4 Limitations for $E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})$.

The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+1$ has 5 integer points: $(-1,0),(0,1),(0,-1),(2,3)$ and $(2,-3)$ which form (together with $\mathcal{O}$ ) a torsion group of order 6: start with $P=(2,3)$ then $2 P=(0,1)$ (a point of inflection), $3 P=(-1,0)$ (the root), $4 P=(0,-1), 5 P=(2,-3)$ and $6 P=\mathcal{O}$. There are no other rational points; the rank is 0 .
If we start with $P=(2,3)$ on the modular curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+1 \bmod 5$ then $2 P=(0,1)$, $3 P=(4,0), 4 P=(0,4), 5 P=(2,2)$ and $6 P=\mathcal{O}$. If we start with $P=(2,3)$ on the modular curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+1 \bmod 7$ then $2 P=(0,1), 3 P=(6,0), 4 P=(0,6), 5 P=(2,4)$ and $6 P=\mathcal{O}$. The two examples illustrate that a torsion group on an elliptic curve $E$ is present on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, except for a change of the coordinates because of the modulair counting. As a consequence the order of the torsion group is a divisor of the order of the modular group: $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})$ divides $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. Since the latter holds for any $p$ it holds for the greatest common divisor of different $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. For $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+1$ we have $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{5}\right)=6$ and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)=12$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(6,12)=6$ it follows that $\# E_{\operatorname{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})$ has to be a divisor of 6 . There are no other rational points, so the rank is 0 . Below follow some additional examples.

For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+2$ we have $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)=9$ and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right)=19$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(9,19)=1$ it follows that $\# E_{\operatorname{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})=1$. The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+2$ has $(-1,1)$ and $(-1,-1)$ as integer points. Both generate an infinite sequence of rationals. In conclusion, $\mathcal{O}$ is the single torsion point and the rank is 1 .

For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+3$ we have $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)=13$ and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right)=9$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(13,9)=1$ it follows that $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})=1$. The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+3$ has $(1,2)$ and $(1,-2)$ as integer points. Both generate an infinite sequence of rationals; the rank is 1 .

For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+4$ we have for $p \equiv 5 \bmod 6: \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=p+1 \equiv 0 \bmod 6$ and for $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$ we see from the tables that $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(6,3)=3$ it follows that $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})$ divides 3 . The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+4$ has $(0,2)$ and $(0,-2)$ as integer points. It are the points of inflection and form an integer torsion group of order 3 . There are no other rationals; the rank is 0 .

For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+5$ we have $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right)=16$ and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{19}\right)=27$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(16,27)=1$ it follows that $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})=1$. The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+5$ has $(-1,2)$ and $(-1,-2)$ as integer points. Either one of them generates an infinite sequence of rationals; the rank is 1 .

For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+6$ we have $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)=4$ and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right)=7$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(4,7)=1$ it follows that $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})=1$. The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+6$ has no
rational points; the rank is 0 .

For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$ we have $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{13}\right)=7$ and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{19}\right)=12$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(7,12)=1$ it follows that $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})=1$. As mentioned before, the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+7$ has no rational points; the rank is 0 .

As a final example, for the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+8$ we have for $p \equiv 5 \bmod 6$ : $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=p+1 \equiv 0 \bmod 6$ and for $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$ we see from the tables that $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \equiv 0$ $\bmod 2$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(6,2)=2$ it follows that $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})$ divides 2. The elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+8$ has 1 integer point $(-2,0)$ of order 2 and 6 integer points, $(1,3),(1,-3)$, $(2,4),(2,-4),(46,312)$ and $(46,-312)$, which generate infinite sequences of rationals. The latter 6 integer points follow from addition of the torsion point $(-2,0)$ and the integer point $(1,3)$. In conclusion, $\# E_{\mathrm{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})=2$ and the rank is 1 .

In the foregoing examples we took the $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p_{1}}\right), \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p_{2}}\right)\right)$ for two different primes $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. In general, one should consider more primes to obtain the smallest gcd. For the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+b$ the rank $r, \# E_{\operatorname{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and the smallest gcd are tabulated for $b=1$ through 30 , see next table (where $\# E_{\operatorname{TORS}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is abbreviated to $t$ ).

| $b$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $t$ | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\operatorname{gcd}$ | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

If one takes the gcd of the set $\left\{\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right\}$ for a sufficiently large number of primes $p$, then:

$$
t=\operatorname{gcd}= \begin{cases}6 & \text { if } b \text { is a sixth power } \\ 3 & \text { if } b \text { is a square } \\ 2 & \text { if } b \text { is a cube } \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

### 4.5 Polynomials for $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$

The elliptic curve $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x \bmod p$ can be investigated in a similar way as for $y^{2}=x^{3}+b$ $\bmod p$. One of the observations will be that $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x \bmod p$ is supersingular if $p \equiv 3$
$\bmod 4$. In this section our concern will be the more general equation $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b \bmod p$. Usually it is written as $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ or as $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. For the present purpose it is convenient to use the following notation: $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}, a, b\right)$ is the elliptic curve $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. The group order, usually denoted as $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, will be denoted as $N(p, a, b)$. The difference between $N(p, a, b)$ and $p+1$ will be denoted as $d(p, a, b)$ :

$$
N(p, a, b)=p+1+d(p, a, b) .
$$

The differences $d(p, a, b)$ are congruent $(\bmod p)$ with a two dimensional polynomial $P$ in $a$ and $b: d(p, a, b) \equiv P(p, a, b) \bmod p$. Thus $N(p, a, b) \equiv 1+P(p, a, b) \bmod p$. For the first few prime numbers the polynomials $P(p, a, b)$ are shown in the table.

| $p$ | $P(p, a, b)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $3 a$ |
| 7 | $4 b$ |
| 11 | $2 a b$ |
| 13 | $6 a^{3}+11 b^{2}$ |
| 17 | $15 a^{4}+2 a b^{2}$ |
| 19 | $9 a^{3} b+11 b^{3}$ |
| 23 | $13 a^{4} b+14 a b^{3}$ |
| 29 | $19 a^{7}+21 a^{4} b^{2}+24 a b^{4}$ |
| 31 | $29 a^{6} b+30 a^{3} b^{3}+4 b^{5}$ |
| 37 | $35 a^{9}+31 a^{6} b^{2}+8 a^{3} b^{4}+10 b^{6}$ |
| 41 | $31 a^{10}+38 a^{7} b^{2}+11 a^{4} b^{4}+36 a b^{6}$ |
| 43 | $a^{9} b+7 a^{6} b^{3}+33 a^{3} b^{5}+35 b^{7}$ |
| 47 | $10 a^{10} b+20 a^{7} b^{3}+15 a^{4} b^{5}+14 a b^{7}$ |
| 53 | $14 a^{13}+10 a^{10} b^{2}+40 a^{7} b^{4}+44 a^{4} b^{6}+2 a b^{8}$ |
| 59 | $26 a^{13} b+37 a^{10} b^{3}+2 a^{7} b^{5}+53 a^{4} b^{7}+3 a b^{9}$ |
| 61 | $51 a^{15}+39 a^{12} b^{2}+55 a^{9} b^{4}+31 a^{6} b^{6}+9 a^{3} b^{8}+47 b^{10}$ |
| 67 | $23 a^{15} b+64 a^{12} b^{3}+46 a^{9} b^{5}+41 a^{6} b^{7}+66 a^{3} b^{9}+16 b^{11}$ |
| 71 | $10 a^{16} b+7 a^{13} b^{3}+35 a^{10} b^{5}+59 a^{7} b^{7}+21 a^{4} b^{9}+68 a b^{11}$ |
| 73 | $6 a^{18}+20 a^{15} b^{2}+45 a^{12} b^{4}+63 a^{9} b^{6}+49 a^{6} b^{8}+24 a^{3} b^{10}+10 b^{12}$ |

Table 4.1: Polynomials $P(p, a, b)$ for which $N(p, a, b) \equiv 1+P(p, a, b) \bmod p$.

According to a theorem of Hasse there holds

$$
|d(p, a, b)| \leq 2 \sqrt{p} .
$$

As a consequence, the polynomials uniquely determine the group order $N(p, a, b)$ if $p>4 \sqrt{p}$ $\rightarrow p>16$. For example, if we want to know the group order for $y^{2}=x^{3}+5 x+7$ over $\mathbb{F}_{19}$, we obtain $P(19,5,7)=9 \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7+11 \cdot 7^{3}=11648, N(19,5,7) \equiv 1+11648 \equiv 2 \bmod 19$. Of the numbers $\{\ldots,-17,2,21,40,59, \ldots\}$ only 21 satisfies Hasse's theorem. Therefore $N(19,5,7)=21$.

The powers $n$ and $m$ of the terms $a^{n} b^{m}$ in the polynomials have the property $4 n+6 m=p-1$. We can write the polynomials as

$$
P(p, a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{k} a^{\frac{p-1}{4}-3 k} b^{2 k} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & \bmod 4 \\
\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{k} a^{\frac{p-3}{4}-3 k-1} b^{2 k+1} & \text { if } p \equiv 3 & \bmod 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m=\frac{p-(p \bmod 12)}{12}=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{12}\right\rfloor$.
For $b=0$ the latter polynomials are reduced to

$$
P(p, a, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
c_{0} a^{\frac{p-1}{4}} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & \bmod 4  \tag{4.1}\\
0 & \text { if } p \equiv 3 & \bmod 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can write the polynomials also as

$$
P(p, a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{m-k} a^{3 k} b^{\frac{p-1}{6}-2 k} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & \bmod 6 \\
\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{m-k} a^{3 k+1} b^{\frac{p-5}{6}-2 k} & \text { if } p \equiv 5 & \bmod 6 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Also here $m=\frac{p-(p \bmod 12)}{12}=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{12}\right\rfloor$.
For $a=0$ the latter polynomials are reduced to

$$
P(p, 0, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
c_{m} b^{\frac{p-1}{6}} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & \bmod 6  \tag{4.2}\\
0 & \text { if } p \equiv 5 & \bmod 6
\end{array}\right.
$$

For the supersingular case $b \equiv 0 \bmod p$ and $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ we have $P(p, a, 0)=0$. For the supersingular case $a \equiv 0 \bmod p$ and $p \equiv 5 \bmod 6$ we have $P(p, 0, b)=0$. For the singular case $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \bmod p$ we have $P(p, 0,0)=0$. For these cases the group order is equal to $p+1$.

For $b=a$ the polynomials reduce to

$$
P(p, a, a)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{k} a^{\lambda-k}
$$

where $m=\frac{p-(p \bmod 12)}{12}=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{12}\right\rfloor$ and $\lambda=\frac{p-(p \bmod 4)}{4}=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{4}\right\rfloor$.
The coefficients $c_{k}$ of the polynomials can be obtained by solving the following system of equations

$$
N(p, a, a) \equiv 1+\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{k} a^{\lambda-k} \quad \bmod p, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, m+1
$$

The group orders $N(p, a, a)$ in the system equations are calculated numerically.

Patterns are present in the coefficients $c_{k}$. Every prime $p$ satisfying $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ can be written uniquely as $p=( \pm 2 n)^{2}+( \pm m)^{2}$ with $n$ and $m$ integers. Taking the signs such that $\pm(2 n) \pm m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then the group order follows from (see page 115 of the book of Washington [1] ):

$$
N(p, a, 0)= \begin{cases}p+1-2 m, & \text { if } p-a \text { is a fourth power } \bmod p \\ p+1+2 m, & \text { if } p-a \text { is a square but not a fourth power } \bmod p \\ p \pm 4 n, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $p=17$, for example, the squares $\bmod 17$ are $\{1,4,9,16,8,2,15,13\}$. The fourth powers $\bmod 17$ are $\{1,16,13,4\}$. The squares which are not a fourth power are $\{9,8,2,15\}$. Since $17=4^{2}+1^{2}$ we have

$$
N(17, a, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
17+1-2=16, & \text { if } p-a \quad \bmod p \in\{1,4,13,16\} \\
17+1+2=20, & \text { if } p-a \quad \bmod p \in\{2,8,9,15\} \\
17+1 \pm 8, & \text { if } p-a \quad \bmod p \in\{3,5,6,7,10,11,12,14\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $b=0$ the polynomial for $p=17$ reduces to $P(17, a, 0)=15 a^{4}$, which also leads to $N(17, a, 0)=16$ for $a=1,4,13,16$, to $N(17, a, 0)=20$ for $a=2,8,9,15$, to $N(17, a, 0)=26$ for $a=3,5,12,14$ and to $N(17, a, 0)=10$ for $a=6,7,10,11$. A single value for $a$ is sufficient to derive the coefficient $c_{0}$ from the group order theorem. For $a=1$ it means that

$$
c_{0}= \begin{cases}-2 m, & \text { if } p-1 \text { is a fourth power } \bmod p \\ +2 m, & \text { if } p-1 \text { is a square but not a fourth power } \bmod p \\ \pm 4 n, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If we apply the latter to for example $p=29=2^{2}+(-5)^{2}$, we find that $p-1=28$ is a square: $12^{2} \equiv 28 \bmod 29$ and that $p-1=28$ is not a fourth power. Hence, $c_{0}=2 m=2 \cdot-5=$ $-10 \equiv 19 \bmod p$. You can apply it yourself to the $c_{0}$ for $p=5,13,37,41$, etc.

As another example we consider a pattern in some of the coefficients guiding the terms $b^{\frac{p-1}{6}}$. If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$ then for the coefficient $c_{m}$ of the term $c_{m} b^{\frac{p-1}{6}}$ there holds:
$c_{m} \equiv 4 \bmod p$ if the prime $p$ has the form $12 k^{2}+12 k+7$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$. .
$c_{m} \equiv 10 \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+25$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.
$c_{m} \equiv 16 \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+12 k+67$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.
$c_{m} \equiv 22 \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+121$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.
$c_{m} \equiv 28 \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+12 k+199$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.
$c_{m} \equiv 34 \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+289$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.
$c_{m} \equiv 40 \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+12 k+403$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.
$c_{m} \equiv 46 \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+529$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$,
and so on.
If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 12$ the pattern can be summarized as
$c_{m} \equiv 10+12 n \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+(6 n+5)^{2}$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$,
and if $p \equiv 7 \bmod 12$ the pattern can be summarized as
$c_{m} \equiv 4+12 n \bmod p$ if $p=12 k^{2}+12 k+(6 n+2)^{2}+3$ with $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.
If we apply the latter to for example $p=73=12 \cdot 2^{2}+(6 \cdot 0+5)^{2}$, we find $c_{m}=$ $c_{6}=10$. As a consequence, $N(73,0, b) \equiv 1+10 b^{12} \bmod 73$. Thus $N(73,0,1) \equiv 1+10$ $\bmod 73=\ldots, 11,84,157, \ldots$ of which 84 is within the Hasse bounds; $N(73,0,1)=84$. And $N(73,0,2) \equiv 1+10 \cdot 2^{1} 2 \bmod 73=\ldots, 8,81,154, \ldots$ of which 81 is within the Hasse bounds; $N(73,0,2)=81$. You can apply it yourself to the $c_{m}$ for $p=7,31,37,67,73$, etc.

### 4.6 Congruence relations for $N(p, a, 0)$ and $N(p, 0, b)$

Group orders are usually determined by numerical methods. A basic method consists in making a list of squares of the numbers 1 through $(p-1) / 2$. Start with $n=0$. Do $n=n+2$ for every $0 \leq x<p$ for which $x^{3}+a x+b \bmod p$ is an element of the list. Do $n=n+1$ for every $0 \leq x<p$ for which $x^{3}+a x+b \equiv 0 \bmod p$. When you are finished $N(p, a, b)=n$. The method is slow. Faster methods are more complicated. A fast method is Schoof's algorithm. Very briefly, Schoof's algorithm consists in finding a point of which the order is larger than $4 \sqrt{p}$. Then there is only one value for the group order (which is a multiple of the order of the point) satisfying Hasse's theorem. Since the evaluation of approximately $p / 12$ expressions is time consuming the polynomials are not of practical use. An exception occurs for the case $b=0$ and the case $a=0$.

We first consider the case $b=0$. From the equation (4.1) the following congruence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(p, a, 0) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv d\left(p, a^{\prime}, 0\right) \cdot a^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \quad \bmod p . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p$ is a prime number the substitution of $a^{\prime}=p-a$ in eq. 4.3) yields

$$
d(p, a, 0)=d(p, p-a, 0), \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 8
$$

and

$$
d(p, a, 0)+d(p, p-a, 0)=0, \text { if } p \equiv 5 \bmod 8 .
$$

Alternatively,

$$
N(p, a, 0)=N(p, p-a, 0), \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 8
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(p, a, 0)+N(p, p-a, 0)=2(p+1), \text { if } p \equiv 5 \bmod 8 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eq. (4.3) it follows

$$
(N(p, a, 0)-1) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(N(p, 1,0)-1) \cdot a^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \bmod p .
$$

That is, if $N\left(p, a^{\prime}, 0\right)$ has been found numerically then one obtains $N(p, a, 0)$ almost instantly. We only have to check the two smallest values larger than zero. As an example we consider $p=53$ for which $N(53,1,0)=68$. Suppose we want to know $N(53,11,0)$. From eq. 4.5) it follows $N(53,11,0)-1 \equiv 67 \cdot 11^{13} \equiv 39 \bmod 53$. From the two smallest values for $N(53,11,0)$, 40 and 93 , the first satisfies Hasse's theorem. Hence, $N(53,11,0)=40$.

Next we consider the case $a=0$. From the equation (4.2) the following congruence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(p, 0, b) \cdot\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{6}} \equiv d\left(p, 0, b^{\prime}\right) \cdot b^{\frac{p-1}{6}} \quad \bmod p . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular for $b^{\prime}=1$ it is reduced to

$$
d(p, 0, b) \equiv d(p, 0,1) \cdot b^{\frac{p-1}{6}} \quad \bmod p
$$

Since $p$ is a prime number the substitution of $b^{\prime}=p-b$ in eq. 4.5) yields

$$
d(p, 0, b)=d(p, 0, p-b), \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 12
$$

and

$$
d(p, 0, b)+d(p, 0, p-b)=0, \text { if } p \equiv 7 \bmod 12 .
$$

Alternatively,

$$
N(p, 0, b)=N(p, 0, p-b), \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 12
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(p, 0, b)+N(p, 0, p-b)=2(p+1), \text { if } p \equiv 7 \bmod 12 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eq. (4.5) it follows

$$
(N(p, 0, b)-1) \cdot\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{6}} \equiv(N(p, 0,1)-1) \cdot b^{\frac{p-1}{6}} \quad \bmod p .
$$

If $N\left(p, 0, b^{\prime}\right)$ has been found numerically then one obtains $N(p, 0, b)$ almost instantly. We only have to check the two smallest values larger than zero. As an example we consider $p=67$
for which $N(67,0,1)=84$. Suppose we want to know $N(67,0,12)$. From eq. 4.7) it follows $N(67,0,12)-1 \equiv 83 \cdot 12^{11} \equiv 11 \bmod 67$. From the two smallest values for $N(67,0,12), 12$ and 79 , the second satisfies Hasse's theorem. Hence, $N(67,0,12)=79$.

Congruence relations related to the ones given before are
$N(p \equiv 1 \bmod 8, a, 0) \equiv \begin{cases}0 \bmod 8 & \text { if } a \text { is a fourth power or } 4 \text { times a fourth power } \\ 0,4 \bmod 8 & \text { if } a \text { is a square or } 2 \text { times a square } \\ 0,2,4 \bmod 8 & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases}$

$$
N(p \equiv 5 \bmod 8, a, 0)= \begin{cases}0 \bmod 8 & \text { if } a \text { is } 4 \text { times a fourth power } \\ 4 \bmod 8 & \text { if } a \text { is a fourth power } \\ 2 \bmod 8 & \text { if } a \text { is } 2 \text { times a square } \\ 0,4 \bmod 8 & \text { if } a \text { is a square } \\ 0,2,4 \bmod 8 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
N(p \equiv 1 \bmod 6,0, b) \equiv \begin{cases}0 \bmod 12 & \text { if } b \text { is a sixth power } \\ 0,4 \bmod 12 & \text { if } b \text { is a cube } \\ 0,3,9 \bmod 12 & \text { if } b \text { is a square } \\ 0,1,3,4,7,9 \bmod 12 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

### 4.7 Moments for $N(p, a, 0)$ and $N(p, 0, b)$

For $p \equiv 5 \bmod 8$ a consequence of eq. (4.4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} N(p, a, 0)=(p-1)(p+1)=p^{2}-1 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inspection it is found it also holds for $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. If true, it therefore holds for all $p \equiv 1$ $\bmod 4$. The $k$-th moment $N(p, a, 0)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, a, 0) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the supersingular case, $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, there holds for all $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, a, 0)=(p-1)(p+1)^{k} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \geq 0$ this can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, a, 0)=(p-1) \sum_{j=0}^{j=k}\binom{k}{j} p^{j} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$ we found by inspection for $0 \leq k \leq 3$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, a, 0)=(p-1) \sum_{j=0}^{j=k}\binom{k}{j}^{2} p^{j} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \geq 4$ the latter regularity breaks down. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, a, 0)=(p-1)\left(\delta(k, p)+\sum_{j=0}^{j=k}\binom{k}{j}^{2} p^{j}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integer $\delta(k, p)$ is the deviation. That is, $p-1$ still is a divisor of $\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, a, 0)$.

For $p \equiv 7 \bmod 12$ a consequence of eq. 4.6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=1}^{p-1} N(p, 0, b)=(p-1)(p+1)=p^{2}-1 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inspection it is found that it also holds for $p \equiv 1 \bmod 12$. Therefore, the latter equation holds for all $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$. The $k$-th momentum of $N(p, 0, b)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, 0, b) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the supersingular case, $p \equiv 5 \bmod 6$, there holds for all $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, 0, b)=(p-1)(p+1)^{k} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \geq 0$ this can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, 0, b)=(p-1) \sum_{j=0}^{j=k}\binom{k}{j} p^{j} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For primes $p \equiv 1 \bmod 6$ we found by inspection for $0 \leq k \leq 5$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, 0, b)=(p-1) \sum_{j=0}^{j=k}\binom{k}{j}^{2} p^{j} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \geq 6$ the latter regularity breaks down. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, 0, b)=(p-1)\left(\delta(k, p)+\sum_{j=0}^{j=k}\binom{k}{j}^{2} p^{j}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integer $\delta(k, p)$ is the deviation. That is, $p-1$ is a divisor of $\sum_{b=1}^{p-1} N^{k}(p, 0, b)$.

## Chapter 5

## Cryptography

### 5.1 Introduction

Cryptography is the art of encrypting and decoding messages. The goal of the encryption is to keep a message secret. It is effective as long as others are not able to decode. Suppose Alice sends to Bob the following encrypted message: KRZEXJ JAFIWEMRCA. It is a bit difficult to decipher it because the length of the message is very small. For a large message, say a page, you can count the frequency of characters and compare it with general frequencies. The leading character, the character which occurs most, probably is an encrypted E. The next to leading character probably is an encrypted $T$, etc. After a view trials you will obtain the original message. The encryption method for the given message is simple: Denote the characters $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \ldots, \mathrm{Z}$ as $\alpha(1), \alpha(2), \ldots, \alpha(26)$. If $\alpha(m)$ is a character in the message, then the encrypted character is $\alpha(2 m \bmod 27)$. For example, $\mathrm{E}=\alpha(5) \rightarrow \alpha(10)=\mathrm{J}$, and $\mathrm{T}=\alpha(20) \rightarrow \alpha(40 \bmod 27)=\alpha(13)=\mathrm{M}$. For the encryption with $2 m \bmod 27$ the decoding key is $\alpha\left(2^{-1} m \bmod 27\right)=\alpha(14 m \bmod 27)$. For example, $\mathrm{M}=\alpha(13) \rightarrow \alpha(13 \cdot 14$ $\bmod 27)=\alpha(182 \bmod 27)=\alpha(20)=\mathrm{T}$. Knowing the decryption key one easily finds the original message: SIMPLE ENCRYPTION. Alice and Bob could also have encrypted the message by $\alpha(m) \rightarrow \alpha(4 m \bmod 27)$ : VIYJUT TBLRSJZIFB. Or by $\alpha(m) \rightarrow \alpha(5 m \bmod 27)$ : NRKZFY YPOIQZSRUP. Or by $\alpha(m) \rightarrow \alpha(k \cdot m \bmod 27)$ for any $1<k<27$ for which $\operatorname{gcd}(k, 27)=1$. Of course, the method is extremely weak and in general keys are much larger numbers. Nevertheless, the example illustrates Alice and Bob somehow have to exchange the common key $k$. It makes the method vulnerable for eavedroppers. The exchange of a common key can be avoided by means of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange. It is based on modular elliptic curves and briefly works as follows: Alice and Bob use an elliptic curve $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and a point $P$ on the curve. Both $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and $P$ are not secret, it is the public key. Alice chooses a secret number $a$, Alice's private key, and Bob chooses a secret number $b$, Bob's private key. Alice computes the point $a P$ and sends it to Bob. Bob computes the point $b P$ and sends it to Alice. Alice computes the point $a b P$ and Bob computes $b a P$. Both use $a b P=b a P$ for
their common key. They just have to convert the point $a b P$ to a number. For instance, by taking the $x$ coordinate of $a b P$ as the common key. Anyway, Alice and Bob have established a common key without exchanging it.

Another property of the given encryption example is that the characters of the message are encrypted. Elliptic curves also are used for message encryption. A message can be represented as a point on an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with $p$ a large prime. A simple way is for instance to write $A$ as $01, b$ as 02 though $Z$ as 26 , and a space delimiter as 00 . Then the message SIMPLE ENCRYPTION is converted to a number $m: m=1909131612050005140318251620091514$. A famous method to send $m$ is RSA (Rivest-Shamir-Adleman). Alice tells Bob she wants to sent him a secret message. Bob chooses two large primes, $p$ and $q$, and computes the products: $n=p q$ and $k=(p-1)(q-1)$. Bob also chooses two integers $d$ and $e$ such that $d e \equiv 1 \bmod k$. Bob sends $n$ and $e$ to Alice; $n$ and $e$ are public. In return Alice computes $c=m^{e} \bmod n$ and sends it to Bob. With his secret number $d$ Bob computes $c^{d} \bmod n$. Since $c^{d} \equiv\left(m^{e}\right)^{d} \equiv m \bmod n$ Bob recovers $m$. One should use very large primes to have $n>m$ and to make the factorisation of $n$ difficult. The message can also be sent by means of elliptic curves. Then one uses $m$ as the $x$ coordinate of a point on an elliptic curve. If there is no point on the curve for $x=1909131612050005140318251620091514$, on tries $x=$ $190913161205000514031825162009151401, x=190913161205000514031825162009151402$, etc. until it is the $x$ coordinate of a point $M$ on the curve. Alice can send the message to Bob by means of Massey-Omura encryption. Alice chooses a secret number $a$, her private key, and Bob chooses a secret number $b$, his private key. Alice computes the point $a M$ and sends it to Bob. Bob computes the point $b a M$ and sends it to Alice. Alice computes the point $a^{-1} b a M$ and sends it to Bob. Bob computes $b^{-1} a^{-1} b a M=M$, which he converts to characters to obtain the message.

Often the message or document itself is not confidential. Bob just wants to be sure the document is send by Alice. It requires an algorithm to verify the digital signature is valid and belongs to Alice. An algorithm based on elliptic curves is ECDSA (Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algortihm). Alice and Bob use an elliptic curve $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ with group order $N$. Alice chooses a secret number $a$, her private key. Alice chooses a point $P$ on the curve of order $N$, and computes $Q=a P$. Alice and Bob also use a function $f$ which converts a point $(x, y)$ on the curve to a number. The function $f(x, y)=x$, as mentioned above, is an example. The set $\left(E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right), N, P, Q\right)$ is the public key. For each message Alice chooses a random integer $k$ and computes $R=k P$. The message or document is represented as an integer $m$ by means of a hash function (hash functions will be considered further on). Alice computes $g=k^{-1}\left(m+a x_{R}\right) \bmod N$, where $x_{R}$ is the $x$ coordinate of point $R$. Alice sends the three numbers $m, R$ and $g$ to Bob. Bob computes $u=g^{-1} m \bmod N, v=g^{-1} x_{R} \bmod N$ and verifies if $u P+v Q$ is equal to $R$. If the document is really signed by Alice, thus with the use
of $a$, then $u P+v Q=g^{-1} m P+g^{-1} x_{R} Q=g^{-1}\left(m P+x_{R} a P\right)=g^{-1} k g P=k P=R$. If it is signed by someone else with $g^{\prime}=k^{-1}\left(m+a^{\prime} x_{R}\right) \bmod N$, then $u P+v Q \neq R$.

In the foregoing examples a lot of technical details are omitted for brevity and simplicity. For instance, one should use elliptic curves for which it is supposed to be difficult to determine the secret key $a$ from the public points $P$ and $a P$. To achieve the latter one should at least use large values for $a$ and $p$. We also did not give a complete survey of existing methods. Instead, we will focus on the ECDSA as used in the bitcoin blockchain. Before we turn to the bitcoin ECDSA we first consider number bases.

### 5.2 Number bases

Our usual numbers are expressed in a decimal system by means of ten characters: $0,1,2,3,4, \ldots, 9$. For example, 374 means $3 \cdot 10^{2}+7 \cdot 10^{1}+4 \cdot 10^{0}$ and 405.6 means $4 \cdot 10^{2}+0 \cdot 10^{1}+5 \cdot 10^{0}+6 \cdot 10^{-1}$. For the decimal system the base is 10 . One can also use other bases. Suppose we want to count in base 7 . Then we only use the characters $0,1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 . The number 532 in base 7 has the value $5 \cdot 7^{2}+3 \cdot 7^{1}+2 \cdot 7^{0}=268$. That is, 532 in base 7 equals 268 in base 10 . We see a number obtains its proper value if you know its base. Numbers should therefore be expressed together with their base. For example, 532 in base 7 equals 268 in base 10 is written as $(532)_{7}=(268)_{10}$. Suppose we want to count in base 12. Then we need two additional characters. Usually one takes a for 10 and b for 11 . The number 5 ab in base 12 has the value $5 \cdot 12^{2}+10 \cdot 12^{1}+11 \cdot 7^{0}=851$ in base 10 . Thus $(5 a b)_{12}=(851)_{10}$. In daily life one writes the numbers without the base since we just know the base is 10 . Hereafter, a number in base 10 will be written without its base. Thus, $(532)_{7}=268$ and $(5 \mathrm{ab})_{12}=851$.

In computers a bit is either a 0 or a 1. A group of 8 bits make a byte. The first bit of the byte represents the sign of a number. With the other 7 bytes we can for instance make $(0001011)_{2}=2^{3}+2^{1}+2^{0}=11$ or $(1111111)_{2}=2^{6}+2^{5}+2^{4}+2^{3}+2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0}=127$. Since $(1111111)_{2}+(0000001)_{2}=(10000000)_{2}=2^{7}$, the latter can be briefly written as $(1111111)_{2}=2^{7}-1=127$. The base 2 system is known as the binary system.

For example, the number 447 in the bases 2 through 16 is: $(110111111)_{2},(121120)_{3},(12333)_{4}$, $(3242)_{5},(2023)_{6},(1206)_{7},(677)_{8},(546)_{9},(447)_{10},(377)_{11},(313)_{12},(285)_{13},(23 \mathrm{~d})_{14},(1 \mathrm{ec})_{15}$ and $(1 b f)_{16}$. The base 16 system is called the hexadecimal system.

If a number in base 10 ends on $5,2,4,6$ or 8 we know it is not a prime number, since 2 and 5 are divisors of 10 . Similarly, if a number in base 12 ends on $2,3,4,6,8,9$ or a we know it is not a prime number, since 2 and 3 are divisors of 12 . For example, for the number 188321739 it is not immediately clear if it is a prime or composite. In base 12 it reads
(5309a5a3 $)_{12}$. Since it ends on a 3 the number 188321739 must be divisible by 3 and is therefore composite. Similarly, for the number $(3199467)_{12}$ it is not immediately clear if it is a prime or composite. In base 10 it reads 9409615 . Since it ends on a 5 we immediately see it is composite.

It may be illuminating to show the first 32 integers in different bases, see the next table.

| (base 10) number | base | 2 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 100 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 101 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 110 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 111 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 1000 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 1001 | 21 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| 10 | 1010 | 22 | 12 | A | A |
| 11 | 1011 | 23 | 13 | B | B |
| 12 | 1100 | 30 | 14 | 10 | C |
| 13 | 1101 | 31 | 15 | 11 | D |
| 14 | 1110 | 32 | 16 | 12 | E |
| 15 | 1111 | 33 | 17 | 13 | F |
| 16 | 10000 | 100 | 20 | 14 | 10 |
| 17 | 10001 | 101 | 21 | 15 | 11 |
| 18 | 10010 | 102 | 22 | 16 | 12 |
| 19 | 10011 | 103 | 23 | 17 | 13 |
| 20 | 10100 | 110 | 24 | 18 | 14 |
| 21 | 10101 | 111 | 25 | 19 | 15 |
| 22 | 10110 | 112 | 26 | 1 a | 16 |
| 23 | 10111 | 113 | 27 | 1 b | 17 |
| 24 | 11000 | 120 | 30 | 20 | 18 |
| 25 | 11001 | 121 | 31 | 21 | 19 |
| 26 | 11010 | 122 | 32 | 22 | 1 a |
| 27 | 11011 | 123 | 33 | 23 | 1 b |
| 28 | 11100 | 130 | 34 | 24 | 1 c |
| 29 | 11101 | 131 | 35 | 25 | 1 d |
| 30 | 11110 | 132 | 36 | 26 | 1 e |
| 31 | 11111 | 133 | 37 | 27 | 1 f |
| 32 | 100000 | 200 | 40 | 28 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.3 Bitcoin ECDSA

The bitcoin digital signatures uses the elliptic curve $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right): y^{2}=x^{3}+7 \bmod p$, where $p=115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984665640564039457584007908834671663$ is a prime. Its value is equal to $p=2^{256}-2^{32}-2^{9}-2^{8}-2^{7}-2^{6}-2^{4}-1$. In base 16 it reads $p=$ ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff fffffff fffffff ffffffe fffffc2f. For this value of $p$ the integer points on the curve $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right): y^{2}=x^{3}+7 \bmod p$ form a cyclic group of order $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=N=$ 115792089237316195423570985008687907852837564279074904382605163141518161494337.

In base 16 it reads ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff fffffffe baaedce6 af48a03b bfd25e8c d0364141.
The order, which is somewhat smaller than $p$, is a prime. The bitcoin base point is $P=(55066263022277343669578718895168534326250603453777594175500187360389116729240$, $32670510020758816978083085130507043184471273380659243275938904335757337482424)$.
In base 16 the base point reads
$P=(79 b e 667 \mathrm{e}$ f9dcbbac 55 a 06295 ce870b07 029bfcdb 2dce28d9 59f2815b 16f81798, 483ada77 26a3c465 5da4fbfc 0e1108a8 fd17b448 a6855419 9c47d08f fb10d4b8).
The base point $P$ is an element of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and its order is equal to the aforementioned group order $N$. The modular elliptic curve $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right): y^{2}=x^{3}+7 \bmod p$ with $p$ and base point $P$ as given above is called secp256k1 by the Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group.

The signature process is as follows. Alice chooses (of course the computer software randomly chooses) a secret number $a$, her private key. Alice computes $Q=a P$, her public key. Since the order of $P$ is a prime there is precisely one value for $a$ for which $a P=Q . Q$ can be calculated efficiently as will be shown below. However, the reconstruction of $a$ from the public points $Q$ and $P$ is extremely time consuming; billions of years with the presently known algorithms. For each message Alice chooses a random integer $k$ and computes $R=k P$. The message or document $m$ is represented as an integer $h$ by means of a hash function (hash functions will be considered further on). Alice computes $s=k^{-1}(h+r \cdot a) \bmod N$, where $r$ is the $x$ coordinate of point $R$. The signature of the hashed message $h$ is the pair $(r, s)$. To check if the signature is legal one computes $u=s^{-1} h \bmod N, v=s^{-1} r \bmod N$ and verifies if $u P+v Q$ is equal to $R$. If the document is really signed by Alice, thus with the use of $a$, then $u P+v Q=s^{-1} h P+s^{-1} r Q=s^{-1}(h P+r \cdot a P)=s^{-1} k \cdot s P=k P=R$. If it is signed by someone else with $s^{\prime}=k^{-1}\left(h+a^{\prime} \cdot r\right) \bmod N$, then $u P+v Q \neq R$. That is, there is an extremely small probability ( 1 out of $10^{78}$ ) that $a^{\prime}$ happens to equal $a$.

Since the numbers are extremely large it is very time consuming to do calculations explicitly. To show explicitly the calculation of $a P$ for some $a<N$ we take a smaller prime: $p=43$. For this value of $p$ the integer points on the curve $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right): y^{2}=x^{3}+7 \bmod p$ form a cyclic group of order $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=N=31$. Let $P=(20,3)$ be the base point and let 19 be the value for $a$. Thus, $Q=19 P$. It can be computed by successively calculating $2 P, 3 P, 4 P$,
$\ldots, 19 P$. That would take 18 steps. However, it is more efficient to calculate $2 P=(13,21)$, $4 P=(12,31), 8 P=(42,36)$ and $16 P=(40,25)$ with the doubling formula and than compute $16 P+2 P+P$ with the addition formula. Then we obtain $19 P=(38,21)$ in 6 steps. Another way is to compute $9 P=(37,36)$ from $8 P+P$, double it to $18 P=(25,25)$ and add $P$ to obtain $19 P=(38,21)$. The latter also takes 6 steps. Either way one arrives at $Q=(38,21)$. Suppose the hashed message is $h=15$ and suppose further that $k=26$ for the signature of the message.

The first computation is $R=k P=26 P=(34,40)$. So, $r=34$. The second computation is $k^{-1} \bmod 31$ for $k=26$. Since $6 \cdot 26=156 \equiv 1 \bmod 31$ we have $26^{-1} \equiv 6 \bmod 31$. The third computation is $s=k^{-1}(h+r \cdot a) \bmod N$. Substituting the values we obtain $s=6(15+34 \cdot 19) \equiv 3966 \equiv 29 \bmod 31$. So, $s=29$. The signature therefore is $(34,29)$.

Next we consider the verification. The first computation is $s^{-1} \bmod 31$. Since $15 \cdot 29=435 \equiv$ $1 \bmod 31$ we have $29^{-1} \equiv 15 \bmod 31$. The second computation is $u=s^{-1} h \equiv 15 \cdot 15 \equiv 225 \equiv$ $8 \bmod 31$. The third computation is $v=s^{-1} r \equiv 15 \cdot 34 \equiv 510 \equiv 14 \bmod 31$. The fourth computation is $u P+v Q=8 P+14 Q=8(20,3)+14(38,21)=(42,36)+(25,25)=(34,40)$. Since $R=(34,40)$ we have verified $u P+v Q$ indeed is equal to $R$ and the signature is valid.

### 5.4 Hash function

In the foregoing example we just took $h=15$ and did not worry about the hash function. What is denoted as $h$ is actually a hash of a key or a message $m$ and is usually denoted as $h(m) \equiv H(m) \bmod N$. For bitcoin signatures and keys the function $H$ is an operational combination of hashing and conversion. In the end the result is Base58 encoded: a string consisting of 34 alphanumeric characters: 1 through 9 , a through z except l (small L) and A through Z except O and I (capital i). We will not show how the SHA256 works. We will just illustrate what it does. As a first example we consider the following string: "message". After the application of SHA256 we obtain the following number in hexadecimal system: ab530a13e45914982b79f9b7e3fba994cfd1f3fb22f71cea1afbf02b460c6d1d.
The number contains 32 bytes ( 64 characters). To consider the hashing of other messages we add a counter; in the blockchain world it is called a nonce. Let us consider the string "message1". After the application of SHA256 we obtain the following number in hexadecimal system: 97d035e32036a670058f2be4e008a7c56355489750a5da6f2af342db4a968e99.
Next we increase the nonce: "message2". After SHA256 we obtain in hexadecimal system: e09b16811444401b35c94081ee8c82a761bcd3cfd7260cf063e3fec520f5f5e9.
We see that a very small change of the string leads to a completely different number. In summary, no matter the length of the string (it may be a file of several Mb ) the hash result of SHA2565 always is a 32 byte number in hexagonal base. Furthermore, if two messages differ by just a single word or even a single character the two hash results will be completely dif-
ferent. As a consequence it is practically impossible to find a string which leads to a given hash.

Now we make a jump in the nonce and consider the string "message15". Then we obtain that SHA256(message15) is equal to:
08ddaf8df28d5eee382f1b9ba191aec331260df321c5a715c5f39bc3a59c0cad.
We see the hash starts with a zero. For "message169" the hash even starts with two zero's: 009c5abcd3a674c926ec880886ab57f226e2cb981a2fc43e00b47b92a8e528b1.
There seems to be no structure or relation between the message string and the number of starting zero's of the hash. If the zero's and ones appear in a random order, one expects $n$ starting zero's in a fraction $16^{-n}$ of the occasions. It will take on average $16^{n}$ attempts to find a SHA256 hash starting with $n$ zero's. To find a hash starting with, say, 10 zero's requires about $16^{10} \approx 10^{12}$ attempts. That is a lot of work and it is an essential part of the blockchains technology.

### 5.5 Blockchain and mining

Transactions of cryptocurrencies are recorded. A list of transactions looks like:
0.01384267 bitcoin from Alice to Bob
0.31082855 bitcoin from Bob to Charlie
0.02581299 bitcoin from Alice to Charlie
etc.
In reality, the transactions consist of the amount of bitcoins, the public key of the sender and the public key of the receiver, both Base58 encoded. To be more specific, the keys are created as follows. Suppose we have the following public key:
023bb54d336d30a6fcb9cf17aa5bafefbdb6509c0465c0c13c6427f74a0fdce213.
The first byte, 02 , is the parity of the $y$-coordinate and the other 32 bytes is the hexadecimal $x$-coordinate. First SHA256 is applied to the latter public key. The result is d9847cd0e87fe3e6d9e6f2f8a600e6a04ad4468e2c1979436b1e5b59a9f0fd08.
Next the hash function RIPEMD160 is applied. The result is:
61182fab45b8bb6141142f732ae9426fbdf5e409.
Two zero's are placed in front of it: 0061182fab45b8bb6141142f732ae9426fbdf5e409.
To the previous result SHA256 is applied:
2ac64aeb5c4a686f0c4d1ba110e4fa0552d693ecc86fe4d7c325f3b2818d8b84.
Then SHA256 is applied to the previous SHA256 result:
$777097 \mathrm{fe} 0 \mathrm{c} 570 f 4 \mathrm{ea} 8 \mathrm{dc} 395 \mathrm{cbcfb} 33 \mathrm{a} 44 \mathrm{fe} 86 \mathrm{~cd} 0 \mathrm{e} 7528 \mathrm{e} 9 \mathrm{fe} 48 \mathrm{fa} 0 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{e} 0 f \mathrm{f} 86 \mathrm{cf}$.
The first four bytes, that is 777097 fe , are concatenated to the end of the RIPEMD160 + front 00 result: 0061182fab45b8bb6141142f732ae9426fbdf5e409777097fe.
Finally, the latter result is Base58 encoded: 19rPX6VXBW8JjaR2QD8Hvd6VKu4sU1dp4u. The latter is a standard public key.

All the transactions are grouped into blocks. If enough new transactions have appeared a new block will be created. Each block consists of a block header, a SHA256 hash of the block header and the list of transactions. Each block header consists of a version number (4 bytes), the previous block header hash ( 32 bytes), the Merkle root ( 32 bytes) which will be explained below, a UNIX timestamp ( 4 bytes), the difficulty target (4 bytes) which determines the number of starting zero's of the block header hash and the nonce ( 4 bytes).

Before we proceed we first consider the Merkle root. Each transaction is given a SHA256 hash: transaction $1 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(1)$, transaction $2 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(2)$, transaction $3 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(3)$, etc. Then pairs of hashes are hashed: $\mathrm{H}(1)+\mathrm{H}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(12), \mathrm{H}(3)+\mathrm{H}(4) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(34), \mathrm{H}(5)+\mathrm{H}(6) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(56)$, etc. Again pairs of hashes are hashed: $\mathrm{H}(12)+\mathrm{H}(34) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(1234), \mathrm{H}(56)+\mathrm{H}(78) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(5678)$, etc. In the end we are left with a single hash, which is the Merkle root. Starting from the root the hashes form a binary tree. It is invented for time efficient verifications.

For the block header hash all the numbers in the block header are concatenated and the result is hashed with SHA256. If the latter hash does not start with the required number of zero's, the nonce is increased and a new hash is generated. The process of trying a large number of nonces until the hash starts with the required number of zero's is called mining. If a hash satisfies the requirements the block is accepted and the miner is rewarded with a given amount of bitcoins. A little later, about every 10 minutes, enough transactions will have appeared and a new block will be created. Part of the header of the new block is the hash of the previous block header. Therefore the blocks are connected: the block chain.

Suppose Alice buys a painting from art painter Bob for 0.1 bitcoin. Suppose after the transfer of the painting and the 'money' Alice decides to cheat Bob. Alice changes the transaction to, for instance, 0.01 bitcoin instead of 0.1 bitcoin. However, the small change, causes a different hash of the transaction and therefore to a different Merkle root. As a consequence the block header hash changes and Alice is forced to go to the long process of finding a new block header hash with the required number of starting zero's. By the time she has found one all the other miners are a few blocks ahead of her. Because of the chain her alternative block header hash will change the block header of the successor block which in turn will change the next successor block and so on. By the time Alice has found hashes for them too all the other miners are far ahead of her. In the end the longer list of blocks created by all the miners will be accepted and the single alternative block (or short list of alternative blocks) of Alice will be declined. The longer list of blocks has taken more work, delivered by all the miners. Because of this 'proof of work' it is regarded as the correct list of blocks.

It should be noted that not all the details are mentioned. For instance, the block header numbers being byte reversed is omitted. Such details are rather technicalities. In summary,
the validity of a transaction is achieved by means of ECDSA and the reliability of the chain of blocks of transactions is achieved by the hashing, with difficulty, of the block headers. Since we are more interested in the underlying mathematics we focussed on modular counting and elliptic curves in the preceding chapters. In the next and final section we will just consider the bitcoin rate.

### 5.6 Bitcoin rate

The price of a bitcoin (BTC) in US dollars (USD) is very volatile. The history of the BTCUSD rate is shown in the next diagram.


For short intervals of time the bitcoin price can grow approximately in an exponential way. This is not the case for longer intervals of time. The historical trend line rather goes as $0.12 t^{4.7}$, where $t$ is the time in years from 1 oktober 2009, see the blue curve. Of course, historical trends do say nothing about future developments.
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